

---

**Contract** — Construction — Narrow and pedantic approach eschewed — Complex commercial agreements — Sophisticated parties — Ascertainment of parties' commercial intentions — Securities trading — Loan — Securities lending — Ownership of securities — Default — Sale of securities — Accounting for proceeds — Estoppel — Australian Master Securities Lending Agreement  
*Primebroker Securities Ltd (recs and mgrs apptd) (in liq) v Fortis Clearing Sydney Pty Ltd* 479

**Criminal law** — Interlocutory appeal — Fair trial — Stay of prosecution — Exceptional case test — Almost 28 years between alleged offence and trial — Evidence — Partial destruction of police file — Discretion — Forensic disadvantage to accused — Stay refused  
*Aydin v R* 588

**Criminal law** — Juries — Prohibition on inquiries and research — Post-trial discovery of internet printed material in jury room — Meaning of "beyond reasonable doubt" — Whether jury defied judge's direction — Assumed irregularity not requiring new trial  
*Martin v R* 579

**Restitution** — Retention of payment made without legal basis — Categories of entitlement — Contract — Total failure of consideration — Loan agreements unenforceable — Whether retention of loans unjust  
*Haxton v Equuscorp Pty Ltd (formerly Equus Financial Services Ltd)*  
*Bassat v Equuscorp Pty Ltd (formerly Equus Financial Services Ltd)*  
*Equuscorp Pty Ltd (formerly Equus Financial Services Ltd) v Haxton*  
*Equuscorp Pty Ltd (formerly Equus Financial Services Ltd) v Bassat*  
*Equuscorp Pty Ltd (formerly Equus Financial Services Ltd) v Cunningham's Warehouse Sales Pty Ltd* 499

February 2012

## CUMULATIVE TABLE OF CASES REPORTED

American International Assurance Company (Australia) Ltd v Skewes (Cavanough J) (2010)	<b>28.111</b>
Ariss v Building Practitioners Board (Bell J) (2010)	<b>28.92</b>
<b>Aydin v R</b> (Court of Appeal) (2010)	<b>28.588</b>
Babic v R (Court of Appeal) (2010)	<b>28.297</b>
<b>Bassat v Equuscorp Pty Ltd (formerly Equus Financial Services Ltd)</b> (Court of Appeal) (2010)	<b>28.499</b>
Bernard v Seltsam Pty Ltd (Bell J) (2010)	<b>28.46</b>
Castles v Secretary of the Department of Justice and Others (Emerton J) (2010)	<b>28.141</b>
DG v R (Court of Appeal) (2010)	<b>28.127</b>
Director of Public Prosecutions v Higgs (Court of Appeal) (2010)	<b>28.101</b>
Director of Public Prosecutions (Cth) v The Magistrates' Court of Victoria and Barbaro (Pagone J) (2010)	<b>28.56</b>
<b>Equuscorp Pty Ltd (formerly Equus Financial Services Ltd) v Bassat</b> (Court of Appeal) (2010)	<b>28.499</b>
<b>Equuscorp Pty Ltd (formerly Equus Financial Services Ltd) v Cunningham's Warehouse Sales Pty Ltd</b> (Court of Appeal) (2010)	<b>28.499</b>
<b>Equuscorp Pty Ltd (formerly Equus Financial Services Ltd) v Haxton</b> (Court of Appeal) (2010)	<b>28.499</b>
Foster James Pty Ltd v Dalton (Ferguson J) (2010)	<b>28.204</b>
Friends of Mallacoota Inc v Minister of Planning and Another (Osborn J) (2010)	<b>28.257</b>
Guden v R (Court of Appeal) (2010)	<b>28.288</b>
<b>Haxton v Equuscorp Pty Ltd (formerly Equus Financial Services Ltd)</b> (Court of Appeal) (2010)	<b>28.499</b>
JLS v R (Court of Appeal) (2010)	<b>28.328</b>
<b>Martin v R</b> (Court of Appeal) (2010)	<b>28.579</b>
McKern and Others v Minister Administering the Mining Act 1978 (WA) (Court of Appeal) (2010)	<b>28.1</b>
Nationwide News Pty Ltd v Farquharson and Another (Court of Appeal) (2010)	<b>28.473</b>
Pravidur v Scental Pacific Pty Ltd (Court of Appeal) (2010)	<b>28.60</b>
<b>Primebroker Securities Ltd (recs and mgrs apptd) (in liq) v Fortis Clearing Sydney Pty Ltd</b> (Judd J) (2009)	<b>28.479</b>
R v Athanasi (No 2) (Court of Appeal) (2009)	<b>28.341</b>
R v Cavkic (No 2) (Court of Appeal) (2009)	<b>28.341</b>
R v Clarke (No 2) (Court of Appeal) (2009)	<b>28.341</b>
R v DG (Court of Appeal) (2010)	<b>28.127</b>
R v Dupas (No 3) (Court of Appeal) (2009)	<b>28.380</b>
Racovalis and Others v Rescom Mortgages Pty Ltd and Others (Court of Appeal) (2010)	<b>28.250</b>
Roads Corporation v Schembri and Others (Osborn J) (2009)	<b>28.229</b>
Scetrine v R (Court of Appeal) (2010)	<b>28.213</b>
Stannard v Director of Public Prosecutions (Court of Appeal) (2010)	<b>28.84</b>
Worsnop v R (Court of Appeal) (2010)	<b>28.187</b>

Cases in **bold** reported in this part

*(Continues on p iii of cover)*

## TABLE OF CASES JUDICIALLY CONSIDERED

- Amadio Pty Ltd v Henderson (1998) 81 FCR 149 discussed **28.499**  
Australia and New Zealand Banking Corporation v Westpac Banking Corporation (1988) 164 CLR 662 discussed **28.499**  
Australian Breeders Co-operative Society Ltd v Jones (1997) 150 ALR 488; 26 ACSR 26 discussed **28.499**
- Baltic Shipping Co v Dillon (1993) 176 CLR 344 discussed **28.499**  
Brownton Ltd v Edward Moore Inbucon Ltd [1985] 3 All ER 499 considered **28.499**
- Campbells Cash and Carry Pty Ltd v Fostif Pty Ltd (2006) 229 CLR 386 considered **28.499**  
Commissioner of State Revenue (Vic) v Royal Insurance Australia Ltd (1994) 182 CLR 51 discussed **28.499**
- David Securities Pty Ltd v Commonwealth Bank of Australia (1992) 175 CLR 353 discussed **28.499**
- Fibrosa Spolka Akcyjna v Fairbairn Lawson Combe Barbour Ltd [1943] AC 32 discussed **28.499**
- Goss v Chilcott [1996] AC 788 discussed **28.499**
- Hide and Skin Trading Pty Ltd v Oceanic Meat Traders Ltd (1990) 20 NSWLR 310 applied **28.479**  
Hurst v Vestcorp Ltd (1988) 12 NSWLR 394 discussed **28.499**
- Jago v District Court (NSW) (1989) 168 CLR 23 applied **28.588**
- Kenneth Wright Distributors Pty Ltd (in liq), Re; W J Vine Pty Ltd v Hall [1973] VR 161 considered **28.499**
- Lumbers v W Cook Builders Pty Ltd (in liq) (2008) 232 CLR 635 discussed **28.499**
- Moses v Macferlan (1760) 2 Burr 1005; 97 ER 676 discussed **28.499**  
Mutual Pools & Staff Pty Ltd v Commonwealth (1994) 179 CLR 155 considered **28.499**
- O'Brien v Melbank Corporation Ltd (1991) 7 ACSR 19 discussed **28.499**  
Ovidio Carrideo Nominees Pty Ltd v Dog Depot Pty Ltd (2006) V ConvR 54-713 discussed **28.499**
- Pavey & Mathews Pty Ltd v Paul (1987) 162 CLR 221 discussed **28.499**  
Phillips v Ellinson Bros Pty Ltd (1941) 65 CLR 221 discussed **28.499**  
Poulton v Commonwealth (1953) 89 CLR 540 considered **28.499**
- R v Cavkic (2005) 12 VR 136 considered **28.579**  
—v Chatzidimitriou (2000) 1 VR 493 considered **28.579**  
—v Edwards (2009) 255 ALR 399; 83 ALJR 717 applied **28.588**  
—v K (2003) 59 NSWLR 431 considered **28.579**  
—v Marsland (unreported, NSW Court of Criminal Appeal, 17 July 1991) considered **28.579**  
—v Rudkowsky (unreported, NSW Court of Criminal Appeal, 15 December 1992) considered **28.579**  
—v Skaf (2004) 60 NSWLR 86 considered **28.579**  
Rowland v Divall [1923] 2 KB 500 discussed **28.499**  
Roxborough v Rothmans of Pall Mall Ltd (2001) 208 CLR 516 discussed **28.499**  
Rugg v Minett (1809) 11 East 210; (1809) 103 ER 985 discussed **28.499**
- Trendtex Trading Corporation v Credit Suisse [1982] AC 679 considered **28.499**  
TS & B Retail Systems Pty Ltd v 3Fold Resources Pty Ltd (No 3) (2007) 158 FCR 444 considered **28.499**
- Upper Hunter County District Council v Australian Chilling and Freezing Co Ltd (1968) 118 CLR 429 applied **28.479**

*(Continues on p iv of cover)*

**TABLE OF CASES JUDICIALLY CONSIDERED — *continued***

Vadasz v Pioneer Concrete (SA) Pty Ltd (1995) 184 CLR 102 discussed **28.499**

Werrin v Commonwealth (1938) 59 CLR 150 considered **28.499**

Westdeutsche Landesbank Girozentrale v Islington London Borough Council [1996] AC 669  
discussed **28.499**

**STATUTES, RULES ETC CITED IN THIS PART**

<b>Criminal Procedure Act 2009 (No 7)</b>	<b>Juries Act 2000 (No 53)</b>
s 295 . . . . . <b>28.588</b>	s 78A . . . . . <b>28.579</b>

*(Continues on p v of cover)*

## 28 VR INDEX OF THIS PART

### CONTRACT

**Assignment** — Debt — Whether lender's claim in restitution assignable — Whether rights effectively assigned by deed: *Haxton v Equuscorp Pty Ltd (formerly Equus Financial Services Ltd)* **28.499**

**Construction** — Narrow and pedantic approach eschewed — Complex commercial agreements — Sophisticated parties — Ascertainment of parties' commercial intentions — Securities trading — Loan — Securities lending — Ownership of securities — Securities lending agreement — Inter-related agreements — Netting of liabilities on default — Value of securities — Sale of securities — Accounting for proceeds — No obligation to deliver borrowed securities on default — Conduct treating securities as assets of lender — Estoppel by convention — Australian Master Securities Lending Agreement: *Primebroker Securities Ltd (recs and mgrs apptd) (in liq) v Fortis Clearing Sydney Pty Ltd* **28.479**

**Investment schemes** — Where schemes failed and investors' interests lost — Most loan agreements illegal and unenforceable because not severable from transactions contravening: *Haxton v Equuscorp Pty Ltd (formerly Equus Financial Services Ltd)* **28.499**

**Restitution** — Retention of payment made without legal basis — Absence of general principle of restitutionary entitlement — Categories of entitlement — Total failure of consideration — Investors' loan agreements financing acquisition of licences and leases for blueberry farming investment schemes — Non-compliance with prescribed interest provisions of Companies Code — Loan agreements unenforceable — Whether investors' retention of loans unjust — Relevance of terms of loan contracts — Relationship to schemes as a whole — Whether trial judge erred in construction of loan agreements and factual findings — Whether action on the sole enforceable loan agreement statute-barred: *Haxton v Equuscorp Pty Ltd (formerly Equus Financial Services Ltd)* **28.499**

### CRIMINAL LAW

**Juries** — Prohibition on inquiries and research — Judge's direction — Post-trial discovery of internet printed material in jury room — Meaning of "beyond reasonable doubt" — Whether jury defied judge's direction — Whether jury breached statutory prohibition — Assumed irregularity not requiring new trial — "Except in the proper exercise of his or her functions as a juror": *Martin v R* **28.579**

**Procedure** — Interlocutory appeal — Fair trial — Permanent stay of prosecution — Exceptional case test — Application refused by trial judge — Almost 28 years between alleged offence and trial — Alleged fingerprint evidence linking accused to offence — Partial destruction of police file — No bad faith — Discretion — Forensic disadvantage to accused — Case not exceptional to justify stay: *Aydin v R* **28.588**

### JURY

**Criminal law** — Prohibition on inquiries and research — Judge's direction — Post-trial discovery of internet printed material in jury room — Meaning of "beyond reasonable doubt" — Whether jury defied judge's direction — Whether jury breached statutory prohibition — Assumed irregularity not requiring new trial — "Except in the proper exercise of his or her functions as a juror": *Martin v R* **28.579**

### PRACTICE AND PROCEDURE

**Criminal law** — Interlocutory appeal — Fair trial — Permanent stay of prosecution — Exceptional case test — Application refused by trial judge — Almost 28 years between alleged offence and trial — Alleged fingerprint evidence linking accused to offence — Partial destruction of police file — No bad faith — Discretion — Forensic disadvantage to accused — Case not exceptional to justify stay: *Aydin v R* **28.588**

### RESTITUTION

**Retention of payment made without legal basis** — Absence of general principle of restitutionary entitlement — Categories of entitlement — Contract — Total failure of consideration — Investors' loan agreements financing acquisition of licences and leases for blueberry farming investment schemes — Non-compliance with prescribed interest provisions of Companies Code — Loan agreements unenforceable — Whether investors' retention of loans unjust — Relevance of terms of loan contracts — Relationship to schemes as a whole — Whether trial judge erred in construction of loan agreements and factual findings — Whether action on the sole enforceable loan agreement statute-barred: *Haxton v Equuscorp Pty Ltd (formerly Equus Financial Services Ltd)* **28.499**

(Continues on p vi of cover)

INDEX — *continued*

**WORDS AND PHRASES**

“Beyond reasonable doubt”: *Martin v R* 28.579

“Except in the proper exercise of his or her functions as a juror”: *Martin v R* 28.579

**Law Reporters**

C M ARCHIBALD

M J CAMPBELL

C R WILLIAMS

BARRISTERS-AT-LAW