CUMULATIVE TABLE OF CASES REPORTED

Motor Vehicle Reports

AAI Ltd (t/as AAMI Ltd) v Jacobs (NSWSC — Elkaim JA) (2024) 107.199
AAI Ltd (t/as GIO) v Amos (NSWCA — Full Court) (2024) 107.210
Allianz Australia Insurance Ltd v Eden (QCA — Full Court) (2024) 107.195

Barnett v R (VSCA — Taylor JA) (2024) **107.129** Basile v Thickens (VSC — Ginnane J) (2024) **107.27**

Camin v R (VSCA — Full Court) (2024) **107.81** Connelly v Transport Accident Commission (VSCA — Full Court) (2024) **107.58**

Davie v Manuel (WASCA — Full Court) (2024) 107.147

Fox v Feltham (VSC — Watson J) (2024) 107.1

Giarrusso v Feltham (VSC — Watson J) (2024) 107.42

Hickey v R (VSCA — Full Court) (2024) **107.73 Hoek v WA Police** (WASC — Forrester J) (2024) **107.182**

Irvin v R (NSWCCA — Full Court) (2024) 107.232

Kelly v R (NSWCCA — Full Court) (2024) 107.253

Norman v Transport Accident Commission (VSCA — Full Court) (2024) 107.91

(Continues on p ii of cover)

Cases in **bold** reported in this Part

PRINT POST APPROVED PP337682/00030 © LexisNexis 2024

ISSN 0813-782X

CUMULATIVE TABLE OF CASES REPORTED — continued

Van Der Wolf v Transport Accident Commission (VSC — Richards J) (2024) 107.11 Varghese v R (VSCA — Kennedy and T Forrest JJA) (2024) 107.110

Wang v Ford (QCA — Full Court) (2024) 107.188

Younis v R (VSCA — Full Court) (2024) 107.139

CASES JUDICIALLY CONSIDERED IN THIS PART

Craig v South Australia (1995) 184 CLR 163; 131 ALR 595; 39 ALD 193, applied 107.253

Dansie v R (2022) 274 CLR 651; 403 ALR 221; 96 ALJR 728; [2022] HCA 25, cited 107.232 Derrick v Cheung (2001) 181 ALR 301; 33 MVR 393; [2001] HCA 48, distinguished 107.253 Director of Public Prosecutions (NSW) v Yeo (2008) 51 MVR 157; 188 A Crim R 82; [2008] NSWSC 953, cited 107.253

Frost v Kourouche (2014) 86 NSWLR 214; 66 MVR 140; [2014] NSWCA 39, applied 107.210

Libke v R (2007) 230 CLR 559; 235 ALR 517; [2007] HCA 30, cited 107.232

M v R (1994) 181 CLR 487; 126 ALR 325, cited 107.232

Parker v R (2023) 105 MVR 337; [2023] NSWCCA 234, cited 107.253 Pell v R (2020) 268 CLR 123; 376 ALR 478; [2020] HCA 12, cited 107.232 Prineas v R (2018) 86 MVR 148; [2018] NSWCCA 221, cited 107.253

R v Baden-Clay (2016) 258 CLR 308; 334 ALR 234; [2016] HCA 35, cited 107.232

- -v F (1957) SR (NSW) 543, cited 107.232
- —v Lavender (2005) 222 CLR 67; 218 ALR 521; 43 MVR 1; [2005] HCA 37, considered 107.253; considered and applied 107.253

SKA v R (2011) 243 CLR 400; 276 ALR 423; [2011] HCA 13, cited 107.232

Wingfoot Australia Partners Pty Ltd v Kocak (2013) 252 CLR 480; 303 ALR 64; [2013] HCA 43, applied 107.210

STATUTES, RULES, ETC CITED IN THIS PART

| NEW SOUTH WALES | s 131 107.199 |
|--|--|
| Crimes Act 1900 | Personal Injury Commission Act 2020 |
| s 52A 107.232 | s 5 107.210 |
| s 52AA 107.232 | s 33 107.210 |
| s 52AB 107.232 | s 43 107.210 |
| Crimes (Sentencing Procedure) Act 1999 | Personal Injury Commission Rules 2021 |
| s 8(1) 107.253 | r 5 107.210 |
| Criminal Appeal Act 1912 | r 127 107.210 |
| s 5 107.232 | Road Rules 2014 |
| s 5AA 107.253 | reg 287 107.253 |
| | 8 |
| | |
| | Road Transport Act 2013 s 117 107.253 |
| | |
| s 5AD(1) 107.253 | s 117(3) 107.253 |
| s 5AD(3) 107.253 | Supreme Court Act 1970 |
| s 6 | s 69 |
| Criminal Procedure Act 1986 | s 101 |
| s 165 107.253 | Uniform Civil Procedure Rules 2005 |
| s 166 107.253 | r 42.1 107.210 |
| s 167 107.253 | r 59.10 107.199 |
| s 168 107.253 | Pt 59 107.199 |
| Motor Accident Injuries Act 2017 | |
| s 4.11 107.210 | QUEENSLAND |
| s 4.12 107.210 | Motor Accident Insurance Act 1994 |
| s 7.20 107.210 | s 51 107.188 |
| s 7.21 107.210 | s 51A 107.188 |
| s 7.23 107.210 | Transport Operations (Road Use |
| s 7.26 107.210 | Management — Road Rules) |
| Div 7.5 107.210 | Regulation 2009 107.195 |
| Div 10.2 107.210 | Uniform Civil Procedure Rules 1999 |
| Sch 2 cl 2 107.210 | r 660 107.188 |
| Motor Accident Permanent Impairment | r 661 107.188 |
| Guidelines (2018) | r 768 107.188 |
| cl 1.5 107.199 | |
| cl 1.6 107.199 | WESTERN AUSTRALIA |
| cl 1.18.1 107.199 | Civil Liability Act 2002 |
| cl 1.203 107.199 | s 5C 107.147 |
| cl 1.218 107.199 | s 5D 107.147 |
| Motor Accidents Compensation Act 1999 | Criminal Procedure Act 2004 |
| s 63 107.199 | s 55 107.182 |
| | |

INDEX OF CASES IN THIS PART

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW

Judicial review — Procedural fairness — Decision of medical review panel made pursuant to Motor Accident Injuries Act 2017 (NSW) regarding percentage whole body impairment suffered by claimant in motor accident — Decision quashed at first instance based on denial of procedural fairness to claimant — Whether primary judge erred in finding procedural fairness was denied — Content of procedural fairness obligations owed by medical review panel as compared to court or tribunal: AAI Ltd (t/as GIO) v Amos 107 MVR 210

Judicial review — Time extension — Whether time should be extended to allow judicial review of first of three decisions — Extension of time not granted — Where judicial review of following two decisions also fails: AAI Ltd (t/as AAMI Ltd) v Jacobs 107 MVR 199

CRIMINAL LAW

Convicting of summary or back up offence after trial — Appeal in strict sense — Appeal under ss 5AD and 5AA of Criminal Appeal Act 1912 (NSW) — Where error of law must be established — Amended grounds of appeal — Where appellant convicted of negligent driving occasioning death — Appellant police officer involved in pursuit of motorbike — Whether judge erred in failing to determine whether she took into account course of driving — Where judge referred to earlier driving but found negligence at time of collision — No substance to ground of appeal — Whether judge erred in finding negligence where there was no evidence that appellant failed to keep safe distance — Ample evidence to justify finding — Whether primary judge took into account irrelevant consideration — Police safe driving policy — Appellant's knowledge of driving in breach of part of policy and pursuit guidelines — Whether judge did not refer to other breaches of policy — Whether judge failed to provide adequate reasons — Judgment brief but provided amply adequate reasons: *Kelly v R* 107 MVR 253

Whether jury verdict unreasonable — Collision between applicant's and deceased's vehicles — Where applicant convicted of dangerous driving occasioning death while under influence of intoxicating liquor against s 52A(1)(a) of Crimes Act 1900 (NSW) (Crimes Act) — Defenses — Where applicant relied on defense under s 52A(8) of Crimes Act that death not in any way attributable to his intoxication — Where applicant bore onus of proving defense on balance of probabilities — Whether jury bound to find defense proven on whole of evidence — Whether only available finding was that collision occurred in applicant's lane and therefore not attributable in any way to applicant's intoxication — Assessment of evidence — Whether jury bound to find eye witness's account unreliable — Jury's advantage in assessing witnesses — Assessment of expert evidence — Validity of assumptions made by experts: *Irvin v R* 107 MVR 232

PRACTICE AND PROCEDURE

Conviction — Conviction in absence of appellant — Whether magistrate erred in entering judgment: Hoek v WA Police 107 MVR 182

PROCEDURE

Powers of court — Pedestrian injured in motor vehicle accident — First respondent was driver of motor vehicle — Second respondent third insurer of motor vehicle — Appellant irregularly commenced proceeding by filing claim and statement of claim in Supreme Court before holding compulsory conference as required by s 51A of Motor Accident Insurance Act 1994 (Qld) — Where respondents informed appellant of his procedural errors and agreed on de facto extension of applicable limitation period — Where on application by second respondent primary judge ordered claim to be set aside — Whether primary judge erred in exercise of his discretion by not ordering claim to be stayed pending compliance with s 51A: Wang v Ford 107 MVR 188

TORTS

Negligence — Duty of care — Causation — Factual causation — Whether trial judge erred in law by failing to comply with rule in *Browne v Dunn* — Meaning of "contrived" — Procedural fairness — Whether trial judge erred in law in failing to provide procedural fairness to appellant — Whether trial judge's conclusion in relation to factual causation illogical or unreasonable — No denial of procedural fairness — No error of law: *Davie v Manuel* 107 MVR 147

Respondent struck by motor vehicle — Contributory negligence of respondent: Allianz Australia Insurance Ltd v Eden 107 MVR 195