

CUMULATIVE TABLE OF CASES REPORTED

Motor Vehicle Reports

AAI Ltd (t/as AAMI) v Phillips (NSWSC — Davies J) (2018) **87.20**

Banks v Tasmania (TASCCA — Full Court) (2019) **87.282**

Bell v R (VSCA — Priest and Ashley JJA) (2018) **87.1**

Bezer v Bassan (NSWCA — Full Court) (2019) **87.447**

Cornwall v Allianz Australia Insurance Ltd (NSWSC — Schmidt J) (2019) **87.496**

Court v Magtibay (NTSC — Grant CJ) (2019) **87.398**

Crossman v Department of Transport and Main Roads (QSC — Henry J) (2019) **87.442**

Director of Public Prosecutions v Noori (VSC — Hollingworth J) (2019) **87.524**

Dumoo v R (NTCCA — Full Court) (2018) **87.227**

Garside v Rohan (QSC — Davis J) (2018) **87.148**

Guseli v R (VSCA — Full Court) (2019) **87.340**

IAG Ltd (t/as NRMA Insurance) v Khaled (NSWSC — Bellew J) (2019) **87.486**

IAG Ltd (t/as NRMA Insurance) v Tarabay (NSWSC — Garling J) (2018) **87.87**

Isen v Newbury (VSC — Garde J) (2019) **87.411**

Jeffrey v Rigby (NTSC — Full Court) (2019) **87.250**

Kerr v Insurance Australia Ltd (t/as NRMA Insurance) (NSWSC — Harrison AsJ) (2019) **87.366**

Lee v McGrath (ACTCA — Full Court) (2019) **87.509**

(Continues on p ii of cover)

CUMULATIVE TABLE OF CASES REPORTED — *continued*

Lowther v Insurance Australia Ltd (t/as NRMA Insurance) (NSWSC — Fullerton J) (2019) **87.472**

McHenry v Insurance Australia Ltd (t/as NRMA Insurance) (NSWSC — Harrison J) (2019) **87.298**
Morcom v Police (SASC — Hinton J) (2018) **87.33**

O'Callaghan v Police (SASC — Lovell J) (2019) **87.330**

Police v Heintze (SASC — Hinton J) (2019) **87.263**

R v Browning (QCA — Full Court) (2018) **87.142**
R v Castles (ACTSC — Murrell CJ) (2018) **87.187**
R v Fountain (ACTSC — Elkaim J) (2018) **87.72**
R v Kelly (ACTSC — Murrell CJ) (2018) **87.127**
R v Pullen (NSWCCA — Full Court) (2018) **87.47**
R v Smith (ACTSC — Loukas-Karlsson J) (2019) **87.426**
Rowe v Miller (WASC — Derrick J) (2018) **87.238**
Rawsthorne v Wilson (ACTSC — Penfold J) (2018) **87.195**

Sims v Pultchen (NTSC — Kelly J) (2019) **87.407**

Toscano v Cushen (VSC — Garde J) (2019) **87.419**
Transport Accident Commission v Stephens (VSC — McDonald J) (2018) **87.11**

Walsh v R (VSCA — Priest and Weinberg JJA) (2018) **87.76**
Warner v Motor Accidents Insurance Board (TASSC — Blow CJ) (2019) **87.324**
Wurramara v Blackwell (NTSC — Kelly J) (2018) **87.114**

Zurich Australia Insurance Ltd v Drca (NSWSC — Johnson J) (2018) **87.100**

CASES JUDICIALLY CONSIDERED IN THIS PART

- Ainsworth v Criminal Justice Commission (1992) 175 CLR 564; 106 ALR 11, applied 87.442
- Branir Pty Ltd v Owston Nominees (No 2) (2001) 117 FCR 424; [2001] FCA 1833, applied 87.509
- Cranfield v R [2018] ACTCA 3, applied 87.426
- Derrick v Cheung (2001) 181 ALR 301; 33 MVR 393; [2001] HCA 48, applied 87.509
- Insurance Australia Ltd (t/as NRMA Insurance) v Banos (2013) 65 MVR 312; [2013] NSWSC 1519, considered 87.486
- Lithgow City Council v Jackson (2011) 244 CLR 352; 281 ALR 223; [2011] HCA 36, considered 87.447
- Manley v Alexander (2005) 223 ALR 228; 44 MVR 308; [2005] HCA 79, applied 87.509
- Monfries v R (2014) 68 MVR 385; [2014] ACTCA 46, considered 87.426
- Nguyen v Tran (2018) 86 MVR 16; [2018] NSWCA 215, considered 87.447
- Nobarani v Mariconte (2018) 92 ALJR 806; 359 ALR 31; [2018] HCA 36, considered 87.447
- Podrebersek v Australian Iron & Steel Pty Ltd (1985) 59 ALR 529, applied 87.509
- R v Creighton [2011] ACTCA 13, considered 87.426
- v Jurisic (1998) 45 NSWLR 209; 29 MVR 49, considered 87.426
- v Kekalainen [2014] ACTSC 132, considered 87.426
- v Mossman (2017) 322 FLR 303; [2017] NTCCA 6, applied 87.398
- v Richardson [2016] ACTSC 133, considered 87.426
- v Whyte (2002) 55 NSWLR 252; 37 MVR 1; [2002] NSWCCA 343, considered 87.426
- v Wolter (No 3) [2015] ACTSC 321, considered 87.426
- Wingfoot Australia Partners v Kocak (2013) 252 CLR 480; 303 ALR 64; [2013] HCA 43, applied 87.472, 87.496

STATUTES, RULES, ETC CITED IN THIS PART

AUSTRALIAN CAPITAL TERRITORY	r 51.53	87.447
Australian Road Rules		
r 264	87.426	
Civil Law (Wrongs) Act 2002		
s 45	87.509	
s 46	87.509	
s 102	87.509	
Crimes Act 1900		
s 29	87.426	
Crimes (Sentencing) Act 2005		
s 6	87.426	
s 7	87.426	
s 10	87.426	
s 33	87.426	
s 35	87.426	
Road Transport (Alcohol and Drugs) Act 1977		
s 20	87.426	
Road Transport (Driver Licensing) Act 1999		
s 31	87.426	
Road Transport (Safety and Traffic Management) Act 1999		
s 16	87.426	
Road Transport (Third-Party Insurance) Act 2008		
s 17	87.426	
Road Transport (Vehicle Registration) Act 1999		
s 18	87.426	
NEW SOUTH WALES		
Evidence Act 1995		
s 55	87.447	
s 76	87.447	
Motor Accidents Compensation Act 1999		
.	87.472, 87.496	
s 92(1)(b)	87.486	
Uniform Civil Procedure Rules 2005		
.	87.496	
r 31.26(4)	87.447	
NORTHERN TERRITORY		
Criminal Code 1983		
s 174E	87.398	
Traffic Act 1987		
s 21	87.407	
s 29AAN	87.407	
QUEENSLAND		
Transport Operations (Road Management) Act 1995		
s 68	87.442	
s 72A	87.442	
s 166	87.442	
VICTORIA		
Road Safety Act 1986		
s 3	87.411	
s 5	87.411	
s 83	87.419	
s 83A	87.419	
s 84	87.411	
s 84(1)	87.419	
s 84(4)(4A)	87.419	
s 84BB	87.411	
s 84BC	87.411	
Road Safety (General) Regulations 2009		
reg 6(1)	87.411	
reg 30	87.419	
reg 30(o)	87.411	
Road Safety (Vehicles) Regulations 2009		
reg 38	87.411, 87.419	
Road Safety Road Rules 2009		
r 20	87.419	
r 152	87.419	
r 326	87.419	
r 329	87.419	
Road Safety Road Rules 2017		
r 20	87.411, 87.419	

INDEX OF CASES IN THIS PART

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW

- Judicial review** — Appeal on question of law from Magistrates' Court — Appeal by person convicted of speeding offence — Road Safety — Mobile road safety cameras — Whether speed measuring device prescribed by legislation: *Toscano v Cushen* 87 MVR 419
- Judicial review** — Appeal on question of law from Magistrates' Court by person convicted of speeding offence — Road safety — Mobile road safety cameras — Whether speed measuring device prescribed by legislation — Whether certificate properly issued — Whether certificates demonstrated that appellant was responsible for offending vehicle: *Isen v Newbury* 87 MVR 411
- Judicial review** — Decision of medical review panel — Whether panel failed to give adequate reasons — Whether panel failed to consider the issues: *Lowther v Insurance Australia Ltd (t/as NRMA Insurance)* 87 MVR 472
- Judicial review** — Powers of courts — Where applicant sought declaratory relief: *Crossman v Department of Transport and Main Roads* 87 MVR 442
- Judicial review** — Review of decision of medical review panel — Whether panel erred in its assessment of circumstances of relevant motor vehicle accident — Whether panel erred in its reasoning process — Whether panel erred in its approach to issue of causation — Whether panel erred in its approach to pre-existing impairment: *Cornwall v Allianz Australia Insurance Ltd* 87 MVR 496
- Judicial review** — Where first defendant sought damages for injuries sustained in motor vehicle accident — Where plaintiff sought that claim be exempt from informal assessment process on grounds that it was not suitable for assessment in that way due to plaintiff's false and misleading statements — Where appointed assessor rejected that application and found that claim "can" be dealt with in that way — Whether there was error of law or jurisdictional error on part of assessor — Whether assessor asked correct question — Whether assessor's reasons were adequate — Errors established — Matter remitted for determination according to law: *IAG Ltd (t/as NRMA Insurance) v Khaled* 87 MVR 486

NEGLIGENCE

- Breach of duty of care** — Whether trial judge erred in finding that respondent had failed to keep proper lookout: *Lee v McGrath* 87 MVR 509
- Causation** — Whether trial judge erred in finding that accident was unavoidable: *Lee v McGrath* 87 MVR 509
- Contributory negligence** — Whether trial judge erred in his process of reasoning — Whether trial judge erred in his apportionment of liability: *Lee v McGrath* 87 MVR 509

OFFENCES

- Causing death** — Sentence — Culpable driving causing death — Culpable driving involving negligence — Unlicensed driver — Using uninsured motor vehicle — Using unregistered registrable vehicle — Seatbelt not properly adjusted and fastened — Drug driving — Failing to give assistance after accident causing death — Pleas of guilty: *R v Smith* 87 MVR 426
- Drink driving** — Validity of notices — Whether notice of immediate suspension and disqualification issued by police officer is sufficient to prove charge of driving while disqualified: *Sims v Pultchen* 87 MVR 407
- Reckless driving** — Sentence — One count of murder — Eleven counts of recklessly causing serious injury — Five counts of conduct endangering life — Where offender deliberately drove at speed into crowd of pedestrians at busy CBD intersection — Serious offending — Pleas of guilty — Verdins principles: *Director of Public Prosecutions v Noori* 87 MVR 524

PRACTICE AND PROCEDURE

- Costs** — Where first defendant filed appearance submitting to all orders of court — Where plaintiff succeeded in obtaining relief sought — No reason for the plaintiff not to be awarded costs in its favour: *IAG Ltd (t/as NRMA Insurance) v Khaled* 87 MVR 486

SENTENCING

- Causing harm** — Appeal — Negligently causing serious harm — Where respondent released upon bond to be of good behaviour with no conviction recorded — Whether sentence manifestly inadequate: *Court v Magtibay* 87 MVR 398

TORTS

- Negligence** — Motor vehicle accident — Whether fact finding process of primary judge miscarried — Impermissible segmentation of decision-making process: *Bezer v Bassan* 87 MVR 447

(Continues on p vi of cover)

INDEX — continued

TRAFFIC LAW

Traffic regulation — Traffic signs and road markings — Where applicant claimed that school zone installed by first respondent was illegitimate due to alleged failure to comply with relevant guidelines — Where no evidence of legal status of guidelines — Lawful purpose for installation of speed zone — Whether lawful process followed — Whether necessary legal controversy existed so as to justify granting of declaratory relief: *Crossman v Department of Transport and Main Roads* 87 MVR 442

WORDS AND PHRASES

“register”: *Isen v Newbury* 87 MVR 411

“registration”: *Isen v Newbury* 87 MVR 411