

CUMULATIVE TABLE OF CASES REPORTED

Intellectual Property Reports

- Boss Fire & Safety Pty Ltd v Trafalgar Group Pty Ltd** (FCA — Yates J) (2022) **166.264**
- Cavalier Corporation Ltd v Pebblemill Ltd (NZHC — Associate Judge Paulsen) (2022) **166.206**
Commissioner of Patents v Thaler (FCAFC — Full Court) (2022) **166.215**
- Energy Beverages LLC v Kangaroo Mother Australia Pty Ltd** (IP Aust — R Wilson) (2021) **166.371**
- Gen-Probe Incorporated v Beckman Coulter, Inc (FCA — O’Bryan J) (2022) **166.166**
- Hells Angels Motorcycle Corporation (Australia) Pty Ltd (ACN 123 059 745) v Redbubble Ltd (ACN 119 200 592) (No 4) (FCA — Jagot J) (2022) **166.144**
- Kretzschmar v IP Service International Pty Ltd (IP Aust — T Brown) (2021) **166.197**
- Manuka Honey Appellation Society Incorporated v Howes (IP Aust — A Richards) (2021) **166.189**
Merck Sharp & Dohme Corp v Sandoz Pty Ltd (FCAFC — Full Court) (2022) **166.1**
- Rakman International Pty Ltd (ACN 097 979 685) v Boss Fire & Safety Pty Ltd (ACN 136 955 685)** (FCA — Yates J) (2022) **166.264**
Re Advanced New Technologies Co, Ltd (IP Aust — R Subbarayan) (2021) **166.412**

(Continues on p ii of cover)

CUMULATIVE TABLE OF CASES REPORTED — *continued*

- Re Crescent Wealth Investments Australasia Pty Ltd (IP Aust — N Smith) (2021) **166.176**
- Seven Network (Operations) Ltd v Bangkok Broadcasting & TV Co Ltd** (IP Aust — B Knowles) (2021) **166.404**
- State of Escape Accessories Pty Ltd (ACN 163 053 665) v Schwartz** (FCAFC — Full Court) (2022) **166.242**
- State Street Global Advisors Trust Company v Maurice Blackburn Pty Ltd (t/as Maurice Blackburn Lawyers) (FCAFC — Full Court) (2022) **166.29**
- Trafalgar Group Pty Ltd v Boss Fire & Safety Pty Ltd** (FCA — Yates J) (2022) **166.264**
- Vector Corrosion Technologies Ltd v E-Chem Technologies Ltd (FCA — Jagot J) (2022) **166.61**
- Wild Flour Cafe Pty Ltd v Rose** (IP Aust — B Knowles) (2021) **166.391**

CASES JUDICIALLY CONSIDERED IN THIS PART

Advantage Rent-A-Car Inc v Advantage Car Rental Pty Ltd (2001) 52 IPR 24; [2001] FCA 683, followed 166.371

Aristocrat Technologies Australia Pty Ltd v Commissioner of Patents (2020) 382 ALR 400; 153 IPR 11; [2020] FCA 778, distinguished 166.412

Atlantis Corporation Pty Ltd v Schindler (1997) 39 IPR 29, considered and followed 166.215; followed 166.215

Burge v Swarbrick (2007) 232 CLR 336; 234 ALR 204; 72 IPR 235; [2007] HCA 17, applied 166.242

Carnival Cruise Lines Inc v Sitmar Cruises Ltd (1994) 120 ALR 495; 31 IPR 375, followed 166.391

Chris and Dora Di Lorenzo Partnership v Denversian Pty Ltd [2020] FCCA 1718, considered and distinguished 166.391

Colorado Group Ltd v Strandbags Group Pty Ltd (2007) 164 FCR 506; 243 ALR 127; 74 IPR 246; [2007] FCAFC 184, applied 166.391

Commissioner of Patents v RPL Central Pty Ltd (2015) 238 FCR 27; 328 ALR 458; 115 IPR 461; [2015] FCAFC 177, applied 166.412

D'Arcy v Myriad Genetics Inc (2015) 258 CLR 334; 325 ALR 100; 115 IPR 1; [2015] HCA 35, considered 166.412; considered and followed 166.215

DC Comics v Cheqout Pty Ltd (2013) 212 FCR 194; 299 ALR 110; 101 IPR 334; [2013] FCA 478, applied 166.391

De Cordova v Vick Chemical Company (1951) 1B IPR 496; 68 RPC 103, followed 166.404

Food Channel Network Pty Ltd v Television Food Network GP (2010) 185 FCR 9; 269 ALR 17; 86 IPR 437; [2010] FCAFC 58, followed 166.371

JMVB Enterprises Pty Ltd (formerly A'Van Campers Pty Ltd) v Camoflag Pty Ltd (2006) 154 FCR 348; 70 IPR 77; [2006] FCAFC 141, considered and followed 166.215; followed 166.215

Kafataris v Davis (2016) 120 IPR 206; [2016] FCAFC 134, considered and followed 166.215

McCormick & Co Inc v McCormick (2000) 51 IPR 102; [2000] FCA 1335, applied 166.391; followed 166.371

Millennium & Cophthorne International Ltd v Kingsgate Hotel Group Pty Ltd (2012) 97 IPR 183; [2012] FCA 1022, followed 166.371

National Research Development Corporation v Commissioner of Patents (1959) 102 CLR 252; [1960] ALR 114; (1959) 1A IPR 63, considered 166.412

Nettlefold Advertising Pty Ltd v Nettlefold Signs Pty Ltd (1997) 38 IPR 495, followed 166.371

Ownit Homes Pty Ltd v Ownit Conveyancing Pty Ltd [2005] ATMO 47, followed 166.371

Parkdale Custom Built Furniture Pty Ltd v Puxu Pty Ltd (1982) 149 CLR 191; 42 ALR 1; 1A IPR 684, followed 166.371

Pham Global Pty Ltd v Insight Clinical Imaging Pty Ltd (2017) 251 FCR 379; 141 IPR 1; [2017] FCAFC 83, applied 166.391

Polwood Pty Ltd v Foxworth Pty Ltd (2008) 165 FCR 527; 244 ALR 626; 75 IPR 1; [2008] FCAFC 9, considered and followed 166.215

Prestige Group (Australia) Pty Ltd v Dart Industries Inc (1990) 26 FCR 197; 95 ALR 533; 19 IPR 275, followed 166.215

Re Aristocrat Technologies Australia Pty Ltd (2016) 123 IPR 341; [2016] APO 49, applied 166.412

Registrar of Trade Marks v Woolworths Ltd (1999) 93 FCR 365; 45 IPR 411; [1999] FCA 1020, followed 166.371

Renaud Cointreau & Cie v Cordon Bleu International Ltee (2001) 193 ALR 657; 52 IPR 382; [2001] FCA 1170, followed 166.404

Research Affiliates LLC v Commissioner of Patents (2014) 227 FCR 378; 316 ALR 135; 109 IPR 364; [2014] FCAFC 150, applied 166.412

Saville Perfumery Ltd v June Perfect Ltd (1939) 1B IPR 440; (1941) 58 RPC 147, followed 166.404

(Continues on p iv of cover)

CASES JUDICIALLY CONSIDERED IN THIS PART — *continued*

Shell Company of Australia Ltd v Esso Standard Oil (Australia) Ltd (1961) 109 CLR 407; [1963] ALR 634; (1961) 1B IPR 523, followed 166.371, 166.391

Southern Cross Refrigerating Co v Toowoomba Foundry Pty Ltd (1954) 91 CLR 592; [1955] ALR 115; (1954) 1A IPR 465, considered 166.404; followed 166.371

Telstra Corporation Ltd v Phone Directories Company Australia Pty Ltd (2015) 237 FCR 388; 328 ALR 247; 116 IPR 207; [2015] FCAFC 156, followed 166.371

The Coca-Cola Company v All-Fect Distributors Ltd (*t/as* Millers Distributing Company) (1999) 96 FCR 107; 47 IPR 481; [1999] FCA 1721, considered 166.404

Time Warner Entertainment Co, LP v Stepsam Investments Pty Ltd (2003) 134 FCR 51; 59 IPR 343; [2003] FCA 1502, followed 166.371

University of Western Australia v Gray (2009) 179 FCR 346; 259 ALR 224; 82 IPR 206; [2009] FCAFC 116, considered and followed 166.215

—v Gray (No 20) (2008) 246 ALR 603; 76 IPR 222; [2008] FCA 498, considered and followed 166.215

Vehicle Monitoring Systems Pty Ltd v SARB Management Group Pty Ltd (2021) 399 ALR 670; 164 IPR 200; [2021] FCAFC 224, considered and followed 166.215

STATUTES, RULES, ETC CITED IN THIS PART

COMMONWEALTH CONSTITUTION

Constitution	
s 51(xviii)	166.215

COMMONWEALTH

Acts Interpretation Act 1901	
s 2(1)	166.215
s 2C	166.215
s 15AA	166.215
Competition and Consumer Act 2010	
Sch 2 s 18	166.264, 166.371, 166.391
Sch 2 s 29	166.371, 166.391
Copyright Act 1968	
s 10	166.242
s 33	166.242
s 75	166.242
s 77	166.242
Copyright Regulations 2017	
reg 12	166.242
Designs Act 2003	
s 5	166.242
s 7	166.242
s 46	166.242
Patents Act 1903	
s 32(2)	166.215
Patents Act 1952	
s 34(1)	166.215
Patents Act 1990	
s 2A	166.215
s 7	166.264
s 13	166.215, 166.264
s 14	166.215
s 15	166.215
s 18(1)(a)	166.412
s 18(1)(b)(ii)	166.412
s 29	166.215
s 29A	166.215
s 31	166.215
s 32	166.215

s 33	166.215
s 34	166.215
s 36	166.215
s 40	166.264
s 40(2)(a)	166.412
s 45	166.215
s 49	166.215
s 59	166.215
s 64(2)(a)	166.215
s 101B(2)	166.215
s 101E(1)	166.215
s 113	166.215
s 117	166.264
s 128	166.264
s 138	166.215
s 172	166.215
s 182	166.215
s 188	166.215
Sch 1	166.215
Patents Regulations 1991	
reg 3.1A	166.215
reg 3.2C	166.215
reg 3.2C(2)(aa)	166.215
reg 3.18(2)(a)(i)	166.215
reg 3.18(2)(f)	166.215
Trade Marks Act 1995	
s 17	166.264
s 27	166.264
s 42	166.391
s 42(b)	166.371
s 44	166.264, 166.371
s 52	166.371, 166.391, 166.404
s 58	166.264
s 59	166.404
s 60	166.371, 166.404
s 62A	166.391

UNITED KINGDOM

Statute of Monopolies 1624 (21 Jac c 3)	
s 6	166.215

INDEX OF CASES IN THIS PART

COPYRIGHT

Infringement — Artistic work — Work of artistic craftsmanship — Copyright design overlap — Appeal: *State of Escape Accessories Pty Ltd (ACN 163 053 665) v Schwartz* 166 IPR 242

PATENTS

Entitlement — Artificial intelligence — Inventor — Inventorship — Natural person — Grant: *Commissioner of Patents v Thaler* 166 IPR 215

Infringement — Innovation patent — Whether instructions for use of firestopping device conform with claims in patent — Whether director liable as joint tortfeasor — Authorisation: *Rakman International Pty Ltd (ACN 097 979 685) v Boss Fire & Safety Pty Ltd (ACN 136 955 685)* 166 IPR 264

Infringement — Unjustifiable threats of infringement proceedings — Whether letter threatened infringement proceedings: *Rakman International Pty Ltd (ACN 097 979 685) v Boss Fire & Safety Pty Ltd (ACN 136 955 685)* 166 IPR 264

Manner of new manufacture — Examination — Disclosure — Clear and complete disclosure — Inventive step — Inventiveness — Business scheme — Generic computer implementation — Blockchain technology: *Re Advanced New Technologies Co, Ltd* 166 IPR 412

Validity — Novelty — Prior art base — Anticipation — Innovative step — Fair basis — Utility: *Rakman International Pty Ltd (ACN 097 979 685) v Boss Fire & Safety Pty Ltd (ACN 136 955 685)* 166 IPR 264

TRADE MARKS

Opposition — Likely to deceive or cause confusion — Reputation — Acquired reputation — Deceptive similarity — Deceptively similar — Similar goods and services: *Seven Network (Operations) Ltd v Bangkok Broadcasting & TV Co Ltd* 166 IPR 404

Opposition — Opposition to registration — Deceptively similar — Likely to deceive or cause confusion — Reputation — Use contrary to law: *Energy Beverages LLC v Kangaroo Mother Australia Pty Ltd* 166 IPR 371

Opposition — Ownership — Prior use — Appeal from decision of delegate of Registrar of Trade Marks: *Rakman International Pty Ltd (ACN 097 979 685) v Boss Fire & Safety Pty Ltd (ACN 136 955 685)* 166 IPR 264

Opposition — Substantially identical — Substantial identity — Would be contrary to law — Applicant not owner — Ownership — Bad faith — Use as trade mark — Misleading or deceptive conduct — Likely to mislead or deceive — False or misleading representation — Passing off: *Wild Flour Cafe Pty Ltd v Rose* 166 IPR 391

TRADE PRACTICES

Misleading or deceptive conduct — Representation as to patent rights — Representation as to patent infringement — Letter sent to building industry: *Rakman International Pty Ltd (ACN 097 979 685) v Boss Fire & Safety Pty Ltd (ACN 136 955 685)* 166 IPR 264