

# INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY REPORTS 127 PART 2

## TABLE OF CASES REPORTED

- EIFY Systems Pty Ltd v 3D Safety Services Pty Ltd

(NSWSC — McDougall J) (2017) 127.204

[LexisNexis AU](#) | [Lexis Advance](#)

- Eight Mile Style LLC v New Zealand National Party

(NZHC — Cull J) (2017) 127.318

[LexisNexis AU](#) | [Lexis Advance](#)

- Fonterra Co-operative Group Ltd v Nestec SA

(IP Aust — L F McCaffery) (2017) 127.277

[LexisNexis AU](#) | [Lexis Advance](#)

- Stichting Brein v Wullems

(ECJ — Full Court) (2017) 127.302

[LexisNexis AU](#) | [Lexis Advance](#)

## CUMULATIVE TABLE OF CASES REPORTED

### Intellectual Property Reports

**EIFY Systems Pty Ltd v 3D Safety Services Pty Ltd** (NSWSC — McDougall J) (2017) **127.204**  
**Eight Mile Style LLC v New Zealand National Party** (NZHC — Cull J) (2017) **127.318**

FA Industry Pty Ltd v Frigrite Mechanical Services Pty Ltd (IP Aust — J McDonagh) (2017) **127.101**

**Fonterra Co-operative Group Ltd v Nestec SA** (IP Aust — L F McCaffery) (2017) **127.277**

Hachette Filipacchi Presse v Reitmans (Canada) Ltd (IP Aust — N Worth) (2016) **127.40**

H Young (Operations) Ltd v Universal Protein Supplements Corporation (IP Aust — N Worth) (2016) **127.29**

Hollard Insurance Co Pty Ltd v Real Estate Institute Nsw Ltd (IP Aust — J McDonagh) (2017) **127.117**

Intervet International BV v EI du Pont de Nemours and Co (IP Aust — A Lim) (2017) **127.145**

Metro Business Centre Pty Ltd v Centrefold Entertainment Pty Ltd (FCA — Rares J) (2017) **127.1**

Monster Energy Company v Disney Enterprises Inc (IP Aust — J McDonagh) (2017) **127.87**

Re Apple Inc (IP Aust — A Richards) (2017) **127.192**

Re Artisent LLC (IP Aust — D Lyons) (2017) **127.181**

Re Bally Technologies ANZ Pty Ltd (IP Aust — M G Kraefft) (2017) **127.164**

*(Continues on p ii of cover)*

**CUMULATIVE TABLE OF CASES REPORTED** — *continued*

Re Swiss Reinsurance Co Ltd (IP Aust — P M Spann) (2017) **127.159**

Real Estate Institute of New South Wales Ltd v Hollard Insurance Company Pty Ltd (IP Aust — J McDonagh) (2017) **127.117**

Silver Top Taxi Service Pty Ltd v Taxi's Combined Services Pty Ltd (IP Aust — C Condon) (2017) **127.133**

**Stichting Brein v Wullems** (ECJ — Full Court) (2017) **127.302**

## CASES JUDICIALLY CONSIDERED IN THIS PART

- 32Red Plc v WHG (International) Ltd [2013] EWHC 815, considered 127.318
- Aktiebolaget Hassle v Alphapharm Pty Ltd (2002) 212 CLR 411; 194 ALR 485; 56 IPR 129; [2002] HCA 59, applied 127.277
- Attorney-General v Blake [2001] 1 AC 268; [2000] 4 All ER 385; [2000] UKHL 45, considered 127.318
- Austin v Colombia Graphophone Co Ltd [1917–1923] Mac CC 398 (Ch), applied 127.318
- Blayney v Clogau St David's Gold Mines Ltd [2002] EWCA Civ 1007, considered 127.318
- Catnic Components v Hill & Smith Ltd [1982] RPC 183, applied 127.277
- Couch v Attorney-General (No 2) [2010] 3 NZLR 149; [2010] NZSC 27, considered 127.318
- D'Almaine v Boosey (1835) 1 Y&C Ex 288 (KB); 160 ER 117, applied 127.318; considered 127.318
- Dasreef Pty Ltd v Hawchar (2011) 243 CLR 588; 277 ALR 611; [2011] HCA 21, applied 127.204
- Designers Guild Ltd v Russell Williams (Textiles) [2000] UKHL 58, considered 127.204; applied 127.318
- Electroquip Ltd v Craigco Ltd (No 2) HC Auckland CIV-2006-404-6719, 29 April 2010, considered 127.318
- EMI Songs Australia Pty Ltd v Larrakin Music Publishing Pty Ltd (2011) 191 FCR 444; 276 ALR 35; 90 IPR 50; [2011] FCAFC 47, applied 127.318; considered 127.318
- Feltex Furnishings of New Zealand Ltd v Brintons Ltd (1992) 4 NZBLC 102,913, considered 127.318
- Force India Formula One Team Ltd v 1 Malaysia Racing Team SDN BHD [2012] EWHC 616, considered 127.318
- Francis Day & Hunter Ltd v Bron (1963) 1A IPR 331; [1963] Ch 587; [1963] 2 All ER 16, distinguished 127.318
- General Tire & Rubber Co v Firestone Tyre & Rubber Co Ltd (1975) 1B IPR 713; [1975] 2 All ER 173; [1975] 1 WLR 819, considered 127.318
- Henkel KGaA v Holdfast New Zealand Ltd [2007] 1 NZLR 577; (2006) 70 IPR 624; [2006] NZSC 102, applied 127.318
- Honeysett v R (2014) 253 CLR 122; 311 ALR 320; [2014] HCA 29, applied 127.204
- Jeans West Corp (New Zealand) Ltd v G-Star Raw CV [2015] NZCA 14, considered 127.318
- Ladbroke (Football) Ltd v William Hill (Football) Ltd [1964] 1 WLR 273; [1964] 1 All ER 465, applied 127.318
- Larrakin Music Publishing Ltd v EMI Songs Australia Pty Ltd (No 2) (2010) 188 FCR 321; 270 ALR 481; 87 IPR 357; [2010] FCA 698, considered 127.318
- Ludlow Music Inc v Williams [2002] EWHC 638 (Ch), considered 127.318
- Makita (Australia) Pty Ltd v Sprowles (2001) 52 NSWLR 705; [2001] NSWCA 305, applied 127.204
- Media.net advertising FZ–LLC v Netseer Inc (2016) 156 F Supp 3d 1052, considered 127.204
- Meters Ltd v Metropolitan Gas Meters Ltd (1911) 28 RPC 157, considered 127.318
- Mitre 10 (New Zealand) Ltd v Benchmark Building Supplies Ltd [2004] 1 NZLR 26; (2003) 58 IPR 407, applied 127.318
- Napier Tool & Die Ltd v Oraka Technologies Ltd [2013] NZSC 86, applied 127.318
- v Oraka Technologies Ltd [2017] 2 NZLR 611; [2016] NZCA 554, considered 127.318
- Navitaire Inc v Easyjet Airline Co [2006] RPC 111; [2004] EWHC 1725 (Ch), considered 127.204
- Nova Productions Ltd v Mazooma Games Ltd [2007] EWCA Civ 219, considered 127.204
- Root Quality Pty Ltd v Root Control Technologies Pty Ltd (2000) 177 ALR 231; 49 IPR 225; [2000] FCA 980, applied 127.277
- SAS Institute Inc v World Programming Ltd [2010] EWHC 1829 (Ch), considered 127.204

*(Continues on p iv of cover)*

**CASES JUDICIALLY CONSIDERED IN THIS PART — *continued***

Sawkins v Hyperion Records Ltd [2005] 1 WLR 3281; (2005) 64 IPR 627; [2005] 3 All ER 636; [2005] EWCA Civ 565, applied 127.318; considered 127.318

Skids Programme Management Ltd v McNeill [2013] 1 NZLR 1; (2012) 98 IPR 324; [2012] NZCA 314, considered 127.318

StatusCard Australia Pty Ltd v Rotondo [2009] 1 Qd R 559; 219 FLR 206; 78 IPR 625; [2008] QSC 181, considered 127.204; followed 127.204

Tetris Holding LLC v Xio Interactive Inc (2012) 863 F Supp 2d 394, considered 127.204

Thornton Hall Manufacturing Ltd v Shanton Apparel Ltd (No 2) (1988) 13 IPR 463; [1989] 1 NZLR 239, applied 127.318

Vestergaard Frandsen A/S v Bestnet Europe Ltd [2014] EWHC 3159 (Ch), considered 127.318

Wellington Newspapers Ltd v Dealers Guide Ltd [1984] 2 NZLR 66; (1984) 4 IPR 417, considered 127.318

Wham-O MFG Co v Lincoln Industries Ltd [1984] 1 NZLR 641; (1984) 3 IPR 115, applied 127.318

Wheelan Associates Inc v Jaslow Dental Laboratory Inc (1986) 797 F 2d 1222, considered 127.204

Williams v Bridgeport Music Inc USDC CD California LA Civ13-6004 JAK (AGR<sub>x</sub>), considered 127.318

Winnebago Industries Inc v Knott Investments Pty Ltd (No 4) [2015] FCA 1327, considered 127.318

**STATUTES, RULES, ETC CITED IN THIS PART**

**COMMONWEALTH**

Patents Act 1990	
s 7 . . . . .	<b>127.277</b>
s 40 . . . . .	<b>127.277</b>
s 59 . . . . .	<b>127.277</b>
Patents Regulations 1991	
reg 5.16 . . . . .	<b>127.277</b>

**NEW SOUTH WALES**

Evidence Act 1995	
s 79 . . . . .	<b>127.204</b>

**EUROPEAN UNION**

Directive 2001/29/EC	
Art 3 . . . . .	<b>127.302</b>
Art 5 . . . . .	<b>127.302</b>

**NEW ZEALAND**

Copyright Act 1994	
s 2 . . . . .	<b>127.318</b>
s 14 . . . . .	<b>127.318</b>
s 16 . . . . .	<b>127.318</b>
s 29 . . . . .	<b>127.318</b>
s 121 . . . . .	<b>127.318</b>

## INDEX OF CASES IN THIS PART

### COMPUTERS

**Software** — Structure, sequence or organisation — Distinction between design and functionality — Defendant had no access to source code or object code — Layout, format and look — Distinction between computer program and result produced by computer program — Copyright does not subsist in result produced: *EIFY Systems Pty Ltd v 3D Safety Services Pty Ltd* 127 IPR 204

### COPYRIGHT

**Infringement** — Political advertising — Political party obtained synchronisation licence to use production music — Political party authorised synchronisation of production music for purpose of advertisements — Production music infringed copyright work — Copyright infringed by communicating copy to public without licence — Copyright infringed by authorising synchronisation — Copyright infringed by authorising use or deployment of advertisements: *Eight Mile Style LLC v New Zealand National Party* 127 IPR 318

**Musical works** — Originality — Musical “borrowing” — Borrowed elements must create distinctive and recognisably different musical work — Mere alteration of melody line or change of notes insufficient — Principles of music copyright apply equally to production music in event of infringement: *Eight Mile Style LLC v New Zealand National Party* 127 IPR 318

**Reproduction** — Musical works — Copyright work was “Lose Yourself” by Eminem — Copyright work was highly original musical work — Infringing work created as production music and “sound-alike track” — Infringing work strikingly similar to copyright work — Works almost indistinguishable as matter of aural impression — Infringing work substantially copied copyright work — No evidence from author of infringing work — Undeniable inference of causal connection between infringing work and copyright work — Copying of copyright work established: *Eight Mile Style LLC v New Zealand National Party* 127 IPR 318

### EVIDENCE

**Admissibility** — Expert opinion — Opinion based wholly or substantially on specialised knowledge — Expert instructed to consider screenshots of rival webpages — Expert instructed to state opinion as to whether copyright infringed by virtue of execution of source code via object code — Expert report did not demonstrate how opinions expressed based on specialised knowledge — Admission otherwise prejudicial to defendants: *EIFY Systems Pty Ltd v 3D Safety Services Pty Ltd* 127 IPR 204

### INTERNATIONAL LAW

**EU law** — Copyright Directive — Right of communication to the public — Meaning of “communication to the public” — Right of reproduction — Exceptions and limitations — Lawful use — Meaning of “lawful use” — Sale of multimedia player pre-installed with software permitting access to streaming websites — Streaming websites permitted users access to copyrighted works without consent of rights holders — Sale of player involved “communication to the public” within scope of right — Temporary reproduction of streamed works by player in such circumstances not “lawful use” — Exception to right of reproduction inapplicable: *Stichting Brein v Wullems* 127 IPR 302

### PATENTS

**Opposition** — Lack of clarity — Fair basing — Sufficiency — Full description — Novelty — Anticipation — Prior art base — Inventive step — Common general knowledge — Proper construction of patent claims: *Fonterra Co-operative Group Ltd v Nestec SA* 127 IPR 277

### REMEDIES

**Damages** — Copyright infringement — User principle — Assessment of reasonable fee for hypothetical licence — Proper approach: *Eight Mile Style LLC v New Zealand National Party* 127 IPR 318

**Damages** — Additional damages — Infringing party relied on industry advice that copyright not infringed — Infringing party did not seek legal advice — Additional damages not justified: *Eight Mile Style LLC v New Zealand National Party* 127 IPR 318

### WORDS AND PHRASES

“**additional damages**”: *Eight Mile Style LLC v New Zealand National Party* 127 IPR 318

“**communication to the public**”: *Stichting Brein v Wullems* 127 IPR 302

“**lawful use**”: *Stichting Brein v Wullems* 127 IPR 302

“**musical borrowing**”: *Eight Mile Style LLC v New Zealand National Party* 127 IPR 318

(Continues on p viii of cover)

INDEX — *continued*

**WORDS AND PHRASES** — *continued*

- “object code”**: *EIFY Systems Pty Ltd v 3D Safety Services Pty Ltd* 127 IPR 204
- “political advertising”**: *Eight Mile Style LLC v New Zealand National Party* 127 IPR 318
- “production music”**: *Eight Mile Style LLC v New Zealand National Party* 127 IPR 318
- “sound-alike track”**: *Eight Mile Style LLC v New Zealand National Party* 127 IPR 318
- “source code”**: *EIFY Systems Pty Ltd v 3D Safety Services Pty Ltd* 127 IPR 204
- “synchronisation”**: *Eight Mile Style LLC v New Zealand National Party* 127 IPR 318
- “user principle”**: *Eight Mile Style LLC v New Zealand National Party* 127 IPR 318