

CUMULATIVE TABLE OF CASES REPORTED

Family Law Reports

Baby D (No 2), Re (FamCA — Young J) (2011) **45.313**
Bande v Cade (FamCAFC — Full Court) (2011) **45.376**
Bernadette, Re (FamCAFC — Full Court) (2011) **45.248**

Donaghey v Donaghey (FamCA — Murphy J) (2011) **45.183**

Gavin v Garden (FamCA — Mushin J) (2011) **45.444**

Hazan v Elias (FamCA — Watts J) (2011) **45.475**

Jacks v Parker (FamCAFC — Full Court) (2011) **45.52**
Jonah v White (FamCA — Murphy J) (2011) **45.460**

Lenova v Lenova (FamCAFC — Full Court) (2011) **45.431**

Maluka v Maluka (FamCAFC — Full Court) (2011) **45.129**
Mitty v Mitty (FamCAFC — Full Court) (2010) **45.20**

Porto v Porto (No 3) (FamCA — Dessau J) (2010) **45.101**

Rowell v Keogh (FamCAFC — Full Court) (2011) **45.157**

(Continues on p ii of cover)

CUMULATIVE TABLE OF CASES REPORTED — *continued*

Ruth (aka Hutton) v Hutton (FamCAFC — Full Court) (2011) **45.399**

Secretary, Department of Health and Human Services v Ray (FamCAFC — Full Court) (2010) **45.1**

Slater v Light (FamCAFC — Full Court) (2011) **45.41**

Somers v Somers (FamCA — Cronin J) (2011) **45.123**

Whung v Whung (FamCA — O'Reilly J) (2011) **45.269**

CASES JUDICIALLY CONSIDERED IN THIS PART

- Bennett, In the Marriage of (1990) 14 Fam LR 397; (1991) FLC 92-191, applied 45.399
- Corporation of the City Enfield v Development Assessment Commission (2000) 199 CLR 135; 169 ALR 400; 60 ALD 342; [2000] HCA 5, applied 45.460
- English, In the Marriage of (1986) FLC 91-729, followed 45.376
- Florie, In the Marriage of (1988) 12 Fam LR 7; (1988) FLC 91-913, considered 45.431
FO v HAF [2007] 2 Qd R 138; [2006] QCA 555, considered 45.460
- Gabel v Yardley (2008) 40 Fam LR 66; (2008) FLC 93-386; [2008] FamCAFC 162, considered 45.431
- Harrington v Lowe (1996) 190 CLR 311; 136 ALR 42; 20 Fam LR 145; (1996) FLC 92-668, applied 45.475
- Ibbotson and Wincen, In the Marriage of (1994) 18 Fam LR 164; (1994) FLC 92-496, followed 45.376
- KQ v HAE [2007] 2 Qd R 32; [2006] QCA 489, considered 45.460
- Latham v Latham [2008] FamCA 877, distinguished 45.475
Liu, In the Marriage of (1984) 9 Fam LR 1060; (1984) FLC 91-572, applied 45.444
- Moby v Schulter (2010) FLC 93-447; [2010] FamCA 748, considered 45.460
Molier and Van Wyk, In the Marriage of (1980) 7 Fam LR 18; (1980) FLC 90-911, considered 45.431
Mullane v Mullane (1983) 158 CLR 436; 45 ALR 291; 8 Fam LR 777; (1983) FLC 91-303, followed 45.431
- Parker v Comptroller-General of Customs (2009) 252 ALR 619; [2009] HCA 7, applied 45.475
Piris v Egan [2008] NSWCA 59, applied 45.460
PY v CY (2005) 34 Fam LR 245; [2005] QCA 247, considered 45.460
- Reisner v Reisner [2010] FamCA 473, considered 45.475
- Sandrck, In the Marriage of (1991) 15 Fam LR 197; (1991) FLC 92-260, applied 45.444; considered 45.444
- Simpson and Hamlin, In the Marriage of (1984) 9 Fam LR 1040; (1984) FLC 91-576, applied 45.444; considered 45.444
- Slapp, In the Marriage of (1989) 13 Fam LR 158; (1989) FLC 92-022, followed 45.431
- Tate v Tate (2002) 29 Fam LR 195; (2002) FLC 93-107; [2002] FamCA 356, followed 45.376
Taylor v Taylor (1979) 143 CLR 1; 25 ALR 418; 5 Fam LR 289; (1979) FLC 90-674, considered 45.444; followed 45.431
Tripp v Tripp [2010] FamCA 691, distinguished 45.475
- Vaughan v Hoskovich [2010] NSWSC 706, applied 45.460; considered 45.460
- Whitford, In the Marriage of (1979) 24 ALR 424; 4 Fam LR 754; (1979) FLC 90-612, applied 45.444

STATUTES, RULES ETC CITED IN THIS PART

COMMONWEALTH

Acts Interpretation Act 1901
s 15AB 45.475

Evidence Act 1995
s 56(2) 45.475
s 135(c) 45.475
s 138 45.475

Family Law Act 1975
s 4AA 45.460
s 11C 45.475
s 60CC(4) 45.399
s 62G(8) 45.475

s 69ZT(1) 45.475
s 69ZU 45.475
s 77A 45.431
s 79 45.431
s 79A 45.431
s 79A(1)(d) 45.444
s 81 45.431
s 90RD 45.460
s 106A 45.431
s 112AP 45.376

Family Law Rules 2004
r 1.19 45.475
r 21.08 45.376

INDEX OF CASES IN THIS PART

CONTEMPT OF COURT

Convoluted history of parenting proceedings between mother and father — Mother failing to comply with trial judge's orders to return with children to Tasmania — Mother appealing against trial judge's orders — Father bringing contempt application under s 112AP of Family Law Act 1975 (Cth) against mother for failing to comply with trial judge's orders — Appeal court setting aside trial judge's orders — Second judge finding mother guilty of contempt for failing to comply with first judge's orders — Mother appealing against conviction and sentence for contempt — Whether judge hearing contempt application failed to afford procedural fairness and natural justice to mother — Whether judge failed to provide adequate reasons for convicting and sentencing mother for contempt — Relevant principles relating to proceedings for contempt under s 112AP: *Bande v Cade* 45 Fam LR 376

DE FACTO RELATIONSHIPS

Applicant seeking declaration pursuant to s 90RD of Family Law Act 1975 (Cth) that she and respondent had been parties to "de facto relationship" — Parties spending time with each other and having sexual relationship over period of 17 years, but not living together or sharing property or pooling resources — Respondent living with wife and three children during same period — Whether parties had relationship "as a couple living together on genuine domestic basis": *Jonah v White* 45 Fam LR 460

EVIDENCE

Parenting proceedings between mother and father — Father secretly taping his interview with the family consultant — Father arguing that tape should be admitted in evidence — Whether the recording and its transcript were admissible — Whether recording illegally obtained — Whether recording improperly obtained — Whether desirability of admitting recording outweighs undesirability of admitting it — Whether probative value of evidence outweighed by danger that evidence might cause undue waste of time — Proper interpretation of s 11C of Family Law Act 1975 (Cth): *Hazan v Elias* 45 Fam LR 475

PARENTS AND CHILDREN

Parenting orders — Residence and contact — Appeal — Where Federal Magistrate ordered change of child's residence from mother's to father's care — Whether Federal Magistrate provided adequate reasons for finding child at risk of emotional harm if he remained in care of mother — Alternatively, whether Federal Magistrate's findings contrary to or otherwise not supported by evidence — Whether Federal Magistrate failed to take into account child's sexualised behaviours observed by mother — Whether Federal Magistrate failed to make findings as to whether child did or did not make statements indicating abuse — Whether Federal Magistrate erred in failing adequately to consider s 60CC(4): *Ruth (aka Hutton) v Hutton* 45 Fam LR 399

PROPERTY ALTERATION (FAMILY LAW ACT)

Application by husband pursuant to s 79A(1)(d) of Family Law Act 1975 (Cth) to vary or set aside consent property orders on basis of children's change of primary care — Meaning of "exceptional circumstances" and "hardship" — Relevant principles: *Gavin v Garden* 45 Fam LR 444

PROPERTY ALTERATION (FAMILY LAW ACT)

Husband and wife agreeing upon final consent orders pursuant to s 79 — Orders providing for transfer of former matrimonial home to wife and for creation of lease between husband and wife — Lease providing for husband to have sole use and occupation of part of former matrimonial home — Trial judge subsequently making orders amending terms of lease — Whether court had jurisdiction to amend terms of lease — Whether amendments to lease were to substantive or machinery provisions — Whether court was functus officio upon making s 79 orders: *Lenova v Lenova* 45 Fam LR 431