

CUMULATIVE TABLE OF CASES REPORTED

Family Law Reports

Alberti v Alberti (No 2) (FamCAFC — Full Court) (2017) **59.27**

Cameron v Brook (FamCAFC — Full Court) (2018) **59.89**

Gong v Wei (FamCAFC — Full Court) (2017) **59.1**

Langley v Tarelli (FamCAFC — Aldridge J) (2018) **59.82**

Parsons v Masson (FamCAFC — Full Court) (2018) **59.37**

Secretary, Department of Family and Community Services v Hayward (a pseudonym) (NSWCA — Full Court) **59.57**

Wilmer v Golding (No 2) (FamCAFC — Full Court) (2017) **59.13**

CASES JUDICIALLY CONSIDERED IN THIS PART

Bureau Interprofessionnel Des Vins De Bourgogne v Red Earth Nominees Pty Ltd (t/as Taltarni Vineyards) [2002] FCA 588, considered 59.13

CIC Insurance Ltd v Bankstown Football Club Ltd (1997) 187 CLR 384; 141 ALR 618, applied 59.57

Dalron v Dalton (2017) 56 Fam LR 400; (2017) FLC 93-773; [2017] FamCAFC 78, distinguished 59.13

Groth v Banks (2013) 289 FLR 1; 49 Fam LR 510; [2013] FamCA 430, cited 59.37

Hayward v R [2018] NSWCCA 104, followed 59.57

Osferatu v Osferatu (2015) 53 FamLR 433; (2015) FLC 93-666; [2015] FamCAFC 177, applied 59.13

Project Blue Sky Inc v Australian Broadcasting Authority (1998) 194 CLR 355; 153 ALR 490; [1998] HCA 28, applied 59.57

Re Application of the Attorney-General (NSW) (2014) 341 ALR 340; [2014] NSWCCA 251, not followed 59.57

SZTAL v Minister for Immigration and Border Protection (2017) 347 ALR 405; [2017] HCA 34, applied 59.57

STATUTES, RULES, ETC CITED IN THIS PART

COMMONWEALTH

Family Law Act 1975
s 4(1) **59.37**
s 60B **59.89**
s 60CG **59.1**
s 60H **59.37**
s 60HB **59.37**
s 61DA **59.1**
s 61B **59.89**
s 65DAC **59.89**

s 79 **59.27**
s 79(4) **59.27**
Judiciary Act 1903
s 79(1) **59.37**

NEW SOUTH WALES

Children and Young Persons (Care and
Protection) Act 1998
s 29 **59.57**
Status of Children Act 1996
s 14 **59.37**

INDEX OF CASES IN THIS PART

APPEALS

Application in appeal — Extension of time to file notice of appeal — Explanation for delay — Merits of appeal — Where proposed parenting appeal raises serious subject matter: *Langley v Tarelli* 59 Fam LR 82

Parenting orders — Appeal against interim parenting orders — Where primary judge made orders removing supervision requirement on children's time with father and providing for that time to increase to alternate weekends and half school holidays — Whether primary judge made errors of principle in way in which disputed issue of family violence was considered — Whether primary judge erred in evaluating mother's evidence — Whether primary judge erred in refusing to adjourn interim parenting hearing until after parties attended Child Responsive Program: *Gong v Wei* 59 Fam LR 1

CHILDREN

Parentage — Artificial conception procedures — Where child was conceived by artificial insemination conducted by first appellant and respondent — Where first appellant and her spouse applied to relocate with child to New Zealand — Where primary judge denied relocation application and in doing so determined respondent was "legal parent" of child — Where appellants appealed — Whether primary judge was required to apply state legislation concerning paternity of child born by means of fertilisation procedure — Whether biology is determinative in deciding who is "parent" for purposes of Family Law Act 1975 (Cth) (federal Act) — Whether expectation of man that he will "parent" child born using his genetic material is relevant in determining whether he is "parent" for purposes of federal Act — Whether putative father's state of knowledge about nature of mother's relationship with another person is relevant to determining his status in relation to child for purposes of federal Act — Whether federal Act admits of child having more than two parents: *Parsons v Masson* 59 Fam LR 37

CRIMINAL LAW

Risk — Production of risk of significant harm reports — Power to disclose reporter's identity: *Secretary, Department of Family and Community Services v Hayward (a pseudonym)* 59 Fam LR 57

LEGAL PRACTITIONERS

Conflict of interest — Lawyer acting for wife moved to law firm acting for husband — Whether wife had disclosed confidential information to lawyer who moved to law firm acting for husband — Whether law firm acting for husband took sufficient measures to ameliorate risk of disclosure of wife's confidential information — Whether law firm acting for husband able to continue acting: *Wilmer v Golding (No 2)* 59 Fam LR 13

PARENTAL RESPONSIBILITY

Jurisdiction — Where mother filed application to allow child to participate in overseas exchange programme — Where primary judge determined he lacked power to make orders sought — Whether court's powers enlivened if its jurisdiction properly invoked — Whether in child's best interests to allow her opportunity to be chosen for programme: *Cameron v Brook* 59 Fam LR 89

PRACTICE AND PROCEDURE

Judgments and orders — Production of significant harm reports — Power to disclose reporter's identity: *Secretary, Department of Family and Community Services v Hayward (a pseudonym)* 59 Fam LR 57

PROPERTY SETTLEMENT

Appeals — Where trial judge made orders for property settlement — Whether trial judge erred in relying in reasons for judgment on affidavits that were not relied on by either party for purposes of trial — Whether trial judge erred by allowing wife to retain property at unsubdivided value when council approval had been given for subdivision, expert evidence as to subdivided value was unchallenged, and both parties submitted that order should be made for sale of the property — Where trial judge erred by failing to take into account inheritance received by husband during relationship: *Alberti v Alberti (No 2)* 59 Fam LR 27

(Continues on p v of cover)

INDEX — *continued*

Enforceability of orders — Where trial judge made orders for property settlement requiring one party to pay other sum of money so as to achieve set percentage outcome by reference to value of other assets each party was to retain pursuant to orders — Where schedule in reasons for judgment listing value of those other assets did not include some of assets that parties were to retain — Where schedule did not resolve some disputes between parties as to value of certain items listed in schedule — Whether trial judge's orders were unenforceable: *Alberti v Alberti (No 2)* 59 Fam LR 27

STATUTORY INTERPRETATION

Acts of parliament — Any proceedings: *Secretary, Department of Family and Community Services v Hayward (a pseudonym)* 59 Fam LR 57

Acts of parliament — Proceedings related to the report: *Secretary, Department of Family and Community Services v Hayward (a pseudonym)* 59 Fam LR 57