

**FAMILY LAW REPORTS**  
**(FamLR)**  
**56 Part 4**

**TABLE OF CASES REPORTED**

- **Atkins v Hunt**  
(FamCAFC — Full Court) (2016) 56.346  
[LexisNexis AU](#) | [Lexis Advance](#)
  
- **Bain v Little and Searle (the legal personal representatives for Bain (dec'd))**  
(FamCAFC — Full Court) (2017) 56.361  
[LexisNexis AU](#) | [Lexis Advance](#)
  
- **Masters v Cheyne**  
(FamCAFC — Full Court) (2016) 56.314  
[LexisNexis AU](#) | [Lexis Advance](#)
  
- **Salah v Salah**  
(FamCAFC — Full Court) (2016) 56.299  
[LexisNexis AU](#) | [Lexis Advance](#)

## CUMULATIVE TABLE OF CASES REPORTED

### Family Law Reports

**Atkins v Hunt** (FamCAFC — Full Court) (2016) **56.346**

**Bain v Little and Searle (the legal personal representatives for Bain (dec'd))** (FamCAFC — Full Court) (2017) **56.361**

**Bant v Clayton (Costs)** (FamCAFC — Full Court) **56.31**

**Calder v Calder** (FamCAFC — Full Court) (2016) **56.1**

**Farnell v Chanbua (FCWA — Thackray CJ)** (2016) **56.84**

**Fewster v Drake** (FamCAFC — Full Court) (2016) **56.38**

**Hart v Sellwood** (FamCAFC — Full Court) (2016) **56.75**

**Masters v Cheyne** (FamCAFC — Full Court) (2016) **56.314**

**Salah v Salah** (FamCAFC — Full Court) (2016) **56.299**

**Welch v Abney** (FamCAFC — Full Court) (2016) **56.58**

## **CASES JUDICIALLY CONSIDERED IN THIS PART**

Holman v Holman [1965] ALR 274; (1964) 5 FLR 406, considered 56.361

Khul v Zurich Financial Services Australia Ltd (2011) 243 CLR 361; 276 ALR 375; [2011] HCA 11, considered 56.361

SS v AH [2010] FamCAFC 13, followed 56.299

**STATUTES, RULES, ETC CITED IN THIS PART**

**COMMONWEALTH**

Child Support (Assessment) Act 1989  
s 4(3) . . . . . **56.314**  
s 5 . . . . . **56.314**  
s 34B . . . . . **56.314**  
s 44(3) . . . . . **56.314**  
s 80C(2) . . . . . **56.314**  
s 80CA . . . . . **56.314**  
s 80D . . . . . **56.314**  
s 80E . . . . . **56.314**  
s 80F . . . . . **56.314**  
s 80G . . . . . **56.314**  
s 81 . . . . . **56.314**  
s 82 . . . . . **56.314**  
s 83 . . . . . **56.314**  
s 84 . . . . . **56.314**  
s 93 . . . . . **56.314**  
s 94 . . . . . **56.314**  
s 95 . . . . . **56.314**  
s 136(1) . . . . . **56.314**  
s 136(2) . . . . . **56.314**  
s 136(2)(d) . . . . . **56.314**  
Pt 5 . . . . . **56.314**

Families, Community Services and  
Indigenous Affairs Legislation  
Amendment (Child Support  
Reform Consolidation and Other  
Measures) Bill 2007,  
Explanatory Memorandum  
. . . . . **56.314**  
Families, Housing, Community Services and  
Indigenous Affairs and Other  
Legislation Amendments  
(Further 2008 Budget and Other  
Measures) Act 2008  
Sch 3 . . . . . **56.314**  
Item 28 . . . . . **56.314**  
Family Law Act 1975  
s 44 . . . . . **56.346**  
s 60CC(2)(b) . . . . . **56.299**  
s 60CG . . . . . **56.299**  
s 61DA(3) . . . . . **56.299**  
s 74 . . . . . **56.346**  
s 79(8) . . . . . **56.361**  
s 79A(1)(d) . . . . . **56.314**  
s 83 . . . . . **56.346**  
s 112AP . . . . . **56.361**  
Federal Proceedings (Costs) Act 1981  
. . . . . **56.314**

## INDEX OF CASES IN THIS PART

### APPEALS

**Child support** — Binding child support agreement — Setting aside a binding child support agreement — Apprehension of bias and pre-judgment — Distinction between bias or pre-judgment and testing of parties' propositions — Exceptional circumstances — Hardship — Discussion of setting aside and termination of child support agreements under the Child Support (Assessment) Act 1989 (Cth) — Whether a change in the living arrangements of the child alone can amount to exceptional circumstances — Where the primary judge was in error in finding hardship, where that hardship flowed not from the changed circumstances but from the agreement itself — Where the primary judge erred in her exercise of discretion under s 136 (2)(d) of the Child Support (Assessment) Act 1989 (Cth) in setting aside the binding child support agreement — Appeal allowed: *Masters v Cheyne* 56 Fam LR 314

**Parenting** — Appeal against interim orders — Where the primary judge made orders permitting the three children to spend unsupervised time with the father (F) — Where both parties made allegations of family violence — Where the mother (M) alleged that she held concerns in relation to the F's capacity to care for the children on his own — Whether the primary judge gave sufficient weight to issues of family violence — Whether the primary judge had regard to s 61DA(3) of the Family Law Act 1975 (CTH) — Whether the primary judge gave adequate reasons: *Salah v Salah* 56 Fam LR 299

### CONTEMPT

**Failure to comply with undertaking** — Whether legal personal representatives had standing to bring application with respect to contempt — Whether court had jurisdiction to accept undertaking — Whether finding on party's knowledge of undertaking in error — Whether failure to consider good character in error: *Bain v Little and Searle (the legal personal representatives for Bain (dec'd))* 56 Fam LR 361

### SPOUSAL MAINTENANCE

**Appeal** — Where wife sought orders for interim and final spousal maintenance pursuant to s 83 of the Act — Where wife made oral application for leave to amend application to permit her to seek order for maintenance pursuant to s 74 of the Act — Where trial judge dismissed W's application finding court without jurisdiction — Where trial judge made finding no order in force — Where trial judge dismissed W's oral application for leave to amend on basis it would circumvent s 44(3) of the Act — Whether W not required to seek leave pursuant s 44(3) so as to permit any amended application for spousal maintenance to proceed — Whether trial judge erred in law in considering application of s 44(3) as matter relevant to exercise of discretion in refusing leave — Whether trial judge erred in taking into account the finality principle: *Atkins v Hunt* 56 Fam LR 346