

FAMILY LAW REPORTS
57 Part 3

TABLE OF CASES REPORTED

- **Construction, Forestry, Mining and Energy Union v Australian Building and Construction Commissioner**
(FCAFC — Full Court) (2018) 57.236

[LexisNexis AU](#) | [Lexis Advance](#)

- **Kramer v Ward**
(FamCAFC — Full Court) (2017) 57.200

[LexisNexis AU](#) | [Lexis Advance](#)

- **Medlow v Medlow**
(FamCAFC — Full Court) (2017) 57.179

[LexisNexis AU](#) | [Lexis Advance](#)

- **SCVG v KLD**
(FamCAFC — Ainslie-Wallace J) (2018) 57.194

[LexisNexis AU](#) | [Lexis Advance](#)

- **Surridge v Surridge**
(FamCAFC — Full Court) (2017) 57.267

[LexisNexis AU](#) | [Lexis Advance](#)

- **Telama v Telama (No 2)**
(FamCAFC — Full Court) (2017) 57.258

[LexisNexis AU](#) | [Lexis Advance](#)

CUMULATIVE TABLE OF CASES REPORTED

Family Law Reports

- Anson v Meek (FamCAFC — Full Court) (2017) **57.23**
 Atkins v Hunt (FamCA — Watts J) (2018) **57.128**
- Berghan v Berghan (QCA — Full Court) (2017) **57.104**
 Bernieres v Dhopal (FamCAFC — Full Court) (2017) **57.149**
- Calvin v McTier (FamCAFC — Full Court) (2017) **57.1**
**Construction, Forestry, Mining and Energy Union v Australian Building and Construction
 Commissioner** (FCAFC — Full Court) (2018) **57.236**
 Child Support Registrar v Pearce (FamCAFC — Full Court) (2018) **57.15**
- Darrow v Malden (FamCA — Rees J) (2017) **57.142**
- Fletcher v Northern Territory of Australia **57.168**
- Granville v Blakeslee (FamCAFC — Full Court) (2017) **57.55**
- Holland v Holland (FamCAFC — Full Court) (2017) **57.84**
- Kramer v Ward** (FamCAFC — Full Court) (2017) **57.200**
- Medlow v Medlow** (FamCAFC — Full Court) (2017) **57.179**

(Continues on p ii of cover)

CUMULATIVE TABLE OF CASES REPORTED — *continued*

SCVG v KLD (FamCAFC — Ainslie-Wallace J) (2018) **57.194**
Surridge v Surridge (FamCAFC — Full Court) (2017) **57.267**

Telama v Telama (No 2) (FamCAFC — Full Court) (2017) **57.258**
Tomaras v Tomaras (FamCAFC — Full Court) (2017) **57.112**

CASES JUDICIALLY CONSIDERED IN THIS PART

Bailey v Marinoff (1971) 125 CLR 529, considered 57.194

Cornwell v R (2007) 231 CLR 260; 234 ALR 51; [2007] HCA 12, considered 57.236

DJL v Central Authority (2000) 201 CLR 226; 170 ALR 659; 26 Fam LR 1; [2000] HCA 17, considered 57.194

Ferrall v Blyton (2000) 27 Fam LR 178; [2000] FamCA 1442, not followed 57.236

Hardman v Hardman [2003] FamCA 1057, applied 57.258

Kirkpatrick v Kotis (2004) 62 NSWLR 567; [2004] NSWSC 1265, followed 57.179

Manotis v Manotis [2016] FamCAFC 200, considered 57.194

Song v Ying (2010) 79 NSWLR 442; 273 ALR 213; [2010] NSWCA 237, applied 57.236

State Rail Authority (NSW) v Codelfa Construction Pty Ltd (No 2) (1982) 150 CLR 29; 42 ALR 289, considered 57.194

Universal Music Australia Pty Ltd v Sharman Networks Ltd (2006) 150 FCR 110; [2006] FCAFC 41, followed 57.179

Vadisanis v Vadisanis (2015) 55 Fam LR 1; (2015) FLC 93-671; [2015] FamCAFC 180, considered 57.194

STATUTES, RULES, ETC CITED IN THIS PART

COMMONWEALTH

| | |
|-------------------------------------|---------------|
| Child Support (Assessment) Act 1989 | |
| s 104 | 57.194 |
| s 136 | 57.258 |
| Evidence Act 1995 | |
| s 128 | 57.236 |

Family Law Act 1975

| | |
|-------------------------|---------------|
| s 79 | 57.267 |
| s 90RD | 57.200 |
| s 90SM | 57.200 |
| s 94AAA(6) | 57.200 |
| s 112AP(1)(b) | 57.179 |

INDEX OF CASES IN THIS PART

APPEALS

Application in an Appeal — Where the W sought to adduce further evidence — Where the appeal is allowed and it was hence not necessary to consider application: *Medlow v Medlow* 57 Fam LR 179

Trial judge's assessment of asset pool in property matter — Whether trial judge erred in including certain debts in asset pool when no evidence supporting existence of debts — Whether appellate court should re-exercise trial judge's discretion following successful appeal: *SurrIDGE v SurrIDGE* 57 Fam LR 267

APPLICATION FOR REVIEW

Application for review of Appeal Registrar's decision — Where Appeal Registrar rejected Application in an Appeal for filing — Whether Full Court has jurisdiction to revisit its orders in certain circumstances: *SCVG v KLD* 57 Fam LR 194

CHILD SUPPORT

Binding child support — Application to set aside — Exceptional circumstances — Changed financial circumstances — Failure to make full and frank financial disclosure — Whether finding of exceptional circumstances available where failure to make full and frank financial disclosure: *Telama v Telama (No 2)* 57 Fam LR 258

CONTEMPT

Appeal against dismissal of contempt application — Whether the H deliberately breached various asset-preservation orders and injunctions — Whether the terms of the orders were clear and unambiguous — Whether potential ambiguity is a bar to contempt proceedings — Whether the W was afforded procedural fairness — Consideration of prima facie case — Consideration of the test for flagrant challenge to the authority of the court: *Medlow v Medlow* 57 Fam LR 179

DE FACTO RELATIONSHIPS

Where appellant brought proceedings for property adjustment — Where trial judge made declaration that there was no de facto relationship between the parties — Where money held on trust pending court action — Where trial judge ordered appellant and his solicitor be jointly and severally liable for repayment to respondent of funds — Whether trial judge had jurisdiction to make order releasing trust funds — Whether trial judge had jurisdiction or power to make order that appellant and his solicitor be jointly and severally liable for repayment of funds: *Kramer v Ward* 57 Fam LR 200

EVIDENCE

Privilege — Privilege against self-exposure to penalties — Witness refusal to give evidence-in-chief citing privilege against self-exposure to penalties — Whether primary judge erred in finding privilege not engaged because witness not compelled to give evidence objected to: *Construction, Forestry, Mining and Energy Union v Australian Building and Construction Commissioner* 57 Fam LR 236