

AUSTRALIAN LAW REPORTS

349 PART 3-4

TABLE OF CASES REPORTED

- **Bauer Consumer Media Ltd v Evergreen Television Pty Ltd**
(FCA — Perry J) (2017) **349.679**

[LexisNexis AU](#) | [Lexis Advance](#)

- **Brown v Tasmania**
(HCA — Full Court) (2017) **349.398**

[LexisNexis AU](#) | [Lexis Advance](#)

- **Cecil v Director of Public Prosecutions (Nauru) (Matter No S117 of 2017)**
(HCA— Kiefel CJ et al) (2017) **349.570**

[LexisNexis AU](#) | [Lexis Advance](#)

- **Homart Pharmaceuticals Pty Ltd (ACN 057 411 640) v Careline Australia Pty Ltd (ACN 089 177 480)**
(FCA — Burley J) (2017) **349.598**

[LexisNexis AU](#) | [Lexis Advance](#)

- **Jeremiah v Director of Public Prosecutions (Nauru) (Matter No S119 of 2017)**
(HCA — Kiefel CJ et al) (2017) **349.570**

[LexisNexis AU](#) | [Lexis Advance](#)

- **Kepae v Director of Public Prosecutions (Nauru) (Matter No S118 of 2017)**
(HCA — Kiefel CJ et al) (2017) **349.570**

[LexisNexis AU](#) | [Lexis Advance](#)

- **Re Barrow**
(HCA — Edelman J) (2017) **349.574**

[LexisNexis AU](#) | [Lexis Advance](#)

- **Re Questions referred to the Court of Disputed Returns pursuant to section 376 of the Commonwealth Electoral Act 1918 (Cth) concerning Senator The Hon Matthew Canavan**
(HCA — Full Court) (2017) **349.534**

[LexisNexis AU](#) | [Lexis Advance](#)

- **Re Questions referred to the Court of Disputed Returns pursuant to section 376 of the Commonwealth Electoral Act 1918 (Cth) concerning Mr Scott Ludlam**
(HCA — Full Court) (2017) **349.534**

[LexisNexis AU](#) | [Lexis Advance](#)

- **Re Questions referred to the Court of Disputed Returns pursuant to section 376 of the Commonwealth Electoral Act 1918 (Cth) concerning Ms Larissa Waters**
(HCA — Full Court) (2017) **349.534**

[LexisNexis AU](#) | [Lexis Advance](#)

- **Re Questions referred to the Court of Disputed Returns pursuant to section 376 of the Commonwealth Electoral Act 1918 (Cth) concerning Senator Nick Xenophon**
(HCA — Full Court) (2017) **349.534**

[LexisNexis AU](#) | [Lexis Advance](#)

- **Re Questions referred to the Court of Disputed Returns pursuant to section 376 of the Commonwealth Electoral Act 1918 (Cth) concerning Senator The Hon Fiona Nash**
(HCA — Full Court) (2017) **349.534**

[LexisNexis AU](#) | [Lexis Advance](#)

- **Re Questions referred to the Court of Disputed Returns pursuant to section 376 of the Commonwealth Electoral Act 1918 (Cth) concerning The Hon Barnaby Joyce MP**
(HCA — Full Court) (2017) **349.534**

[LexisNexis AU](#) | [Lexis Advance](#)

- **Re Questions referred to the Court of Disputed Returns pursuant to section 376 of the Commonwealth Electoral Act 1918 (Cth) concerning Senator Malcolm Roberts**
(HCA — Full Court) (2017) **349.534**

[LexisNexis AU](#) | [Lexis Advance](#)

- **Van Beelen v R**
(HCA — Full Court) (2017) **349.578**

[LexisNexis AU](#) | [Lexis Advance](#)

CUMULATIVE TABLE OF CASES REPORTED

Australian Law Reports

Australian Marriage Equality Ltd v Minister for Finance Cormann (Matter No M106 of 2017) (HCA — Full Court) (2017) **349.1**

Bauer Consumer Media Ltd v Evergreen Television Pty Ltd (FCA — Perry J) (2017) **349.679**

Bert v Red 5 Ltd (QSC — Applegarth J) (2016) **349.210**

Boensch (as trustee of the Boensch Trust) v Pascoe (NSWCA — Leeming JA) (2016) **349.193**

BRF038 v Republic of Nauru (HCA — Full Court) (2017) **349.67**

Brown v Tasmania (HCA — Full Court) (2017) **349.398**

Cecil v Director of Public Prosecutions (Nauru) (Matter No S117 of 2017) (HCA — Kiefel CJ et al) (2017) **349.570**

Colin R Price & Associates Pty Ltd v Four Oaks Pty Ltd (FCAFC — Full Court) (2017) **349.100**

Commissioner of Patents v Abbvie Biotechnology Ltd (FCAFC — Full Court) (2017) **349.142**

Construction Industry Training Board v Transfield Services (Australia) Pty Ltd (SASCFC — Full Court) (2017) **349.270**

Director of Public Prosecutions v Dalglish (a pseudonym) (HCA — Full Court) (2017) **349.37**

Foxtel Management Pty Ltd (ACN 068 671 938) v TPG Internet Pty Ltd (ACN 068 383 737) (FCA — Burley J) (2017) **349.154**

Homart Pharmaceuticals Pty Ltd (ACN 057 411 640) v Careline Australia Pty Ltd (ACN 089 177 480) (FCA — Burley J) (2017) **349.598**

Jeremiah v Director of Public Prosecutions (Nauru) (Matter No S119 of 2017) (HCA — Kiefel CJ et al) (2017) **349.570**

(Continues on p ii of cover)

CUMULATIVE TABLE OF CASES REPORTED — *continued*

- Kepae v Director of Public Prosecutions (Nauru) (Matter No S118 of 2017)** (HCA — Kiefel CJ et al) (2017) **349.570**
- Koani v R** (HCA — Full Court) (2017) **349.56**
- Morris Finance Ltd v Brown** (NSWCA — Basten and Payne JJA) (2016) **349.200**
- Parker v Minister for Immigration and Border Protection** (FCAFC — Full Court) (2016) **349.81**
- Re Barrow** (HCA — Edelman J) (2017) **349.574**
- Re Questions referred to the Court of Disputed Returns pursuant to section 376 of the Commonwealth Electoral Act 1918 (Cth) concerning Senator The Hon Matthew Canavan** (HCA — Full Court) (2017) **349.534**
- Re Questions referred to the Court of Disputed Returns pursuant to section 376 of the Commonwealth Electoral Act 1918 (Cth) concerning Mr Scott Ludlam** (HCA — Full Court) (2017) **349.534**
- Re Questions referred to the Court of Disputed Returns pursuant to section 376 of the Commonwealth Electoral Act 1918 (Cth) concerning Ms Larissa Waters** (HCA — Full Court) (2017) **349.534**
- Re Questions referred to the Court of Disputed Returns pursuant to section 376 of the Commonwealth Electoral Act 1918 (Cth) concerning Senator Nick Xenophon** (HCA — Full Court) (2017) **349.534**
- Re Questions referred to the Court of Disputed Returns pursuant to section 376 of the Commonwealth Electoral Act 1918 (Cth) concerning Senator The Hon Fiona Nash** (HCA — Full Court) (2017) **349.534**
- Re Questions referred to the Court of Disputed Returns pursuant to section 376 of the Commonwealth Electoral Act 1918 (Cth) concerning The Hon Barnaby Joyce MP** (HCA — Full Court) (2017) **349.534**
- Re Questions referred to the Court of Disputed Returns pursuant to section 376 of the Commonwealth Electoral Act 1918 (Cth) concerning Senator Malcolm Roberts** (HCA — Full Court) (2017) **349.534**
- Van Beelen v R** (HCA — Full Court) (2017) **349.578**
- Wilkie v Commonwealth** (Matter No M105 of 2017) (HCA — Full Court) (2017) **349.1**
- Ying Mui Pty Ltd (ACN 009 992 449) v Hoh (No 3)** (VSC — Vickery J) (2016) **349.296**

CASES JUDICIALLY CONSIDERED IN THIS PART

- Australian Capital Television Pty Ltd v Commonwealth (1992) 177 CLR 106; 108 ALR 577, considered 349.398
- APLA Ltd v Legal Services Commissioner (NSW) (2005) 224 CLR 322; 219 ALR 403; [2005] HCA 44, considered 349.398
- Arnautovic v Cvitznovic [2011] FCA 809, considered 349.679
- Aston v Harlee Manufacturing Co (1960) 103 CLR 391; [1960] ALR 605, considered 349.679
- Attorney-General (SA) v Corporation of the City of Adelaide (2013) 249 CLR 1; 295 ALR 197; [2013] HCA 3, considered 349.398
- Austin Nichols & Co Inc v Lodestar Anstalt (2011) 202 FCR 462; [2011] FCA 39, considered 349.679
- Blatch v Archer (1774) 1 Cowp 63; 98 ER 969, considered 349.679
- Brisbane South Regional Health Authority v Taylor (1996) 186 CLR 541; 139 ALR 1, considered 349.679
- Cadbury Schweppes Pty Ltd v Darrell Lea Chocolate Shops Pty Ltd (2007) 159 FCR 397; 239 ALR 662; 72 IPR 261; [2007] FCAFC 70, applied 349.598
- Campomar Sociedad Limitada v Nike International Ltd (2000) 202 CLR 45; 169 ALR 677; 46 IPR 481; [2000] HCA 12, applied 349.598
- Coleman v Power (2004) 220 CLR 1; 209 ALR 182; [2004] HCA 39, considered 349.398
- Cunliffe v Commonwealth (1994) 182 CLR 272; 124 ALR 120, applied 349.398
- Dick Smith Investments Pty Ltd v Ramsey (2016) 120 IPR 270; [2016] FCA 939, considered 349.679
- Eastman v R (2000) 203 CLR 1; 172 ALR 39; [2000] HCA 29, considered 349.578
- Estex Clothing Manufactures Pty Ltd v Ellis & Goldstein Ltd (1967) 116 CLR 254; 1A IPR 491, considered 349.679
- Food Channel Network Pty Ltd v Television Food Network GP (2010) 185 FCR 9; 269 ALR 17; 86 IPR 437; [2010] FCAFC 58, applied 349.679; considered 349.679
- Fry Consulting Pty Ltd v Sports Warehouse Inc (No 2) (2012) 201 FCR 565; 288 ALR 727; 94 IPR 551; [2012] FCA 81, applied 349.679
- Ho v Powell (2001) 51 NSWLR 572; [2001] NSWCA 168, considered 349.679
- Jones v Dunkel (1959) 101 CLR 298; [1959] ALR 367, applied 349.679
- King Gee Clothing Co Pty Ltd v Commonwealth (1945) 71 CLR 184; [1945] ALR 397, considered 349.398
- Lange v Australian Broadcasting Corporation (1997) 189 CLR 520; 145 ALR 96, applied 349.398; considered 349.398
- Malibu Boats West Inc v Catanese (2000) 180 ALR 119; 51 IPR 134; [2000] FCA 1141, considered 349.679
- McCloy v New South Wales (2015) 257 CLR 178; 325 ALR 15; [2015] HCA 34, applied 349.398; considered 349.398; explained 349.398
- Mickelberg v R (1989) 167 CLR 259; 86 ALR 321, considered 349.578
- Monis v R (2013) 249 CLR 92; 295 ALR 259; [2013] HCA 4, considered 349.398
- Moorgate Tobacco Co Ltd v Phillip Morris Ltd (No 2) (1984) 156 CLR 414, considered 349.679
- North Eastern Dairy Co Ltd v Dairy Industry Authority (NSW) (1975) 134 CLR 559; 7 ALR 433, considered 349.398
- O'Donnell v Reichard [1975] VR 916, considered 349.679
- Payne v Parker [1976] 1 NSWLR 191, applied 349.679
- Peter Bodum A/S v DKSH Australia Pty Ltd (2011) 280 ALR 639; 92 IPR 222; [2011] FCAFC 98, applied 349.598; considered 349.598
- Pioneer Kabushiki Kaisha v Registrar of Trade Mark (1977) 137 CLR 670; 17 ALR 43; 1A IPR 520, applied 349.679

(Continues on p iv of cover)

CASES JUDICIALLY CONSIDERED IN THIS PART — *continued*

Re Judiciary and Navigation Acts (1921) 29 CLR 257; 27 ALR 193, applied 349.574
RHG Mortgage Ltd v Ianni [2015] NSWCA 56, applied 349.679

S & I Publishing Pty Ltd v Australian Surf Life Saver Pty Ltd (1998) 88 FCR 354; 168 ALR 396;
43 IPR 581, applied 349.598

Sapient Australia Pty Ltd v SAP AG (2002) 55 IPR 638; [2002] ATMO 51, considered 349.679

Suyen Corporation v Americana International Ltd [2008] ATMO 4, approved 349.679

Sydneywide Distributors Pty Ltd v Red Bull Australia Pty Ltd (2002) 234 FCR 549; 55 IPR 354;
[2002] FCAFC 157, considered 349.598

Sykes v Cleary (No 2) (1992) 176 CLR 77; 109 ALR 577, applied 349.534

SZBEL v Minister for Immigration and Multicultural and Indigenous Affairs (2006) 228 CLR 152;
231 ALR 592; 93 ALD 300; [2006] HCA 63, applied 349.679

Tajjour v New South Wales (2014) 254 CLR 508; 313 ALR 221; [2014] HCA 35, considered 349.398

UCP Gen Pharma AG v Mesoblast Inc (2012) 95 IPR 562; [2012] FCA 210, considered 349.679

Unions New South Wales v New South Wales (2013) 252 CLR 530; 304 ALR 266; [2013] HCA 58,
applied 349.398; considered 349.398

Watson v Foxman (1995) 49 NSWLR 315, considered 349.679

Woolly Bull Enterprises Pty Ltd v Reynolds (2001) 107 FCR 166; 51 IPR 149; [2001] FCA 261,
applied 349.679; considered 349.679

Wotton v Queensland (2012) 246 CLR 1; 285 ALR 1; [2012] HCA 2, applied 349.398

STATUTES, RULES, ETC CITED IN THIS PART

COMMONWEALTH CONSTITUTION

Commonwealth Constitution	
s 16	349.534
s 34	349.534
s 44	349.534
s 45	349.534

COMMONWEALTH

Australian Consumer Law	
s 18	349.598
Commonwealth of Australia Constitution Act	
	349.398
Trade Marks Act 1995	
s 58	349.679
s 92	349.679
s 100	349.679

s 101	349.679
-------	---------

TASMANIA

Forest Management Act 2013	
s 8	349.398
s 9	349.398
s 13	349.398
s 21	349.398
s 22	349.398
s 23	349.398
Workplaces (Protection from Protesters) Act	
2014	
s 6	349.398
s 8	349.398
s 11	349.398
s 13	349.398
Pt 4	349.398

INDEX OF CASES IN THIS PART

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW

Commonwealth powers — Limitations — Implied freedom of political communication — Reformulation of questions to be asked — United States jurisprudence irrelevant — State legislation restricting areas within which protests may take place — Definition of such areas vague and mistakes by police officers as to definition of areas possible — Practical effect of legislation to deter protestors from protesting in areas — Legislation burdened implied freedom indirectly to significant extent: *Brown v Tasmania* 349 ALR 398

Constitution — Parliamentary elections — Disqualification of elected parliamentarians — Court of Disputed Returns — References from Commonwealth Parliament — Whether persons incapable of being chosen — Dual citizenship — Whether vacancy in representation in senate — Whether vacancy in seat — Constitutional interpretation — Whether knowledge of foreign citizenship required — Whether implied mental element in relation to acquisition or retention of foreign citizenship — Need for stability of representative government — Relevance of reasonable steps taken by candidate to inquire as to foreign citizenship prior to nomination — Drafting history — Role of foreign law: *Re Questions referred to the Court of Disputed Returns pursuant to section 376 of the Commonwealth Electoral Act 1918 (Cth) concerning Senator The Hon Matthew Canavan* 349 ALR 534

CONSUMER PROTECTION

Misleading and deceptive conduct — Reputation in get-up — Applicant and first respondent competed in market for sale of cosmetic products in Australia to consumers of ethnic Chinese origin — First respondent marketed product in gold box — Packaging of first respondent's product, including but not limited to gold box, was unique in market — Applicant sold substantially similar product in strikingly similar packaging except using different name — Applicant did so intending to gain market advantage at first respondent's expense — Applicant engaged in misleading and deceptive conduct: *Homart Pharmaceuticals Pty Ltd (ACN 057 411 640) v Careline Australia Pty Ltd (ACN 089 177 480)* 349 ALR 598

CRIMINAL LAW

Sentencing — Exercise of discretion to impose new sentence: *Cecil v Director of Public Prosecutions (Nauru) (Matter No S117 of 2017)* 349 ALR 570

Trial — Appeal against conviction — New evidence demonstrated expert estimation of time of death at trial was erroneous — Fresh and compelling evidence — Compelling evidence if reliable, substantial and highly probative in context of issues at trial — No significant possibility jury would have acquitted had new evidence been before it: *Van Beelen v R* 349 ALR 578

PRACTICE AND PROCEDURE

Appeal — Appeal from Supreme Court of Nauru — Exercise of discretion to impose new sentence: *Cecil v Director of Public Prosecutions (Nauru) (Matter No S117 of 2017)* 349 ALR 570

High Court — Summons seeking declaration — Advisory opinion: *Re Barrow* 349 ALR 574

TRADE MARKS

First use — Name of television series — Dispute between companies involved in production of television series — Applicant for registration asserted first use of name in licence agreement with broadcaster — Opponent disputed first use — Critical facts in issue concerning conversations and meetings over decade earlier — Proper approach to testimonial evidence — Opponent ran circumstantial case — Opponent failed to call key witnesses who had personal knowledge of critical facts in issue — *Jones v Dunkel* inferences appropriate — Applicant had established first use: *Bauer Consumer Media Ltd v Evergreen Television Pty Ltd* 349 ALR 679

Removal for non-use — Applicant for registration did not use mark during relevant period — Obstacle to use — Opponent used mark in broadcast of television series during relevant period — Insurmountable obstacle to applicant's use — Claim of non-use rebutted: *Bauer Consumer Media Ltd v Evergreen Television Pty Ltd* 349 ALR 679

WORDS AND PHRASES

“adverse inference”: *Bauer Consumer Media Ltd v Evergreen Television Pty Ltd* 349 ALR 679

“another mark”: *Bauer Consumer Media Ltd v Evergreen Television Pty Ltd* 349 ALR 679

“burden”: *Brown v Tasmania* 349 ALR 398

“business access area”: *Brown v Tasmania* 349 ALR 398

“discriminatory effect”: *Brown v Tasmania* 349 ALR 398

“get-up”: *Homart Pharmaceuticals Pty Ltd (ACN 057 411 640) v Careline Australia Pty Ltd (ACN 089 177 480)* 349 ALR 598

(Continues on p viii of cover)

INDEX — *continued*

WORDS AND PHRASES — *continued*

- “**good faith**”: *Bauer Consumer Media Ltd v Evergreen Television Pty Ltd* 349 ALR 679
- “**implied freedom of political communication**”: *Brown v Tasmania* 349 ALR 398
- “**misleading and deceptive conduct**”: *Homart Pharmaceuticals Pty Ltd (ACN 057 411 640) v Careline Australia Pty Ltd (ACN 089 177 480)* 349 ALR 598
- “**obstacle**”: *Bauer Consumer Media Ltd v Evergreen Television Pty Ltd* 349 ALR 679
- “**owner**”: *Bauer Consumer Media Ltd v Evergreen Television Pty Ltd* 349 ALR 679
- “**proportionality**”: *Brown v Tasmania* 349 ALR 398
- “**protest activity**”: *Brown v Tasmania* 349 ALR 398
- “**protester**”: *Brown v Tasmania* 349 ALR 398
- “**reasonably appropriate and adapted**”: *Brown v Tasmania* 349 ALR 398
- “**reputation**”: *Homart Pharmaceuticals Pty Ltd (ACN 057 411 640) v Careline Australia Pty Ltd (ACN 089 177 480)* 349 ALR 598