

CUMULATIVE TABLE OF CASES REPORTED

Administrative Law Decisions

- Amir v Director of Professional Services Review** (FCA — Jagot J) (2021) **175.26**
- Butler and Repatriation Commission, Re** (AATA — Senior Member M Evans-Bonner) (2022) **175.193**
- Ellison v Comcare** (FCA — Murphy J) (2022) **175.62**
- Harvey and Secretary, Department of Social Services, Re** (AATA — Senior Member N A Manetta) (2021) **175.106**
- Kerns and Repatriation Commission, Re** (AATA — Member W Frost) (2022) **175.161**
- LPJD and Military Rehabilitation and Compensation Commission, Re** (AATA — Senior Member L Kirk) (2022) **175.130**
- McAuliffe and Commissioner of Taxation, Re** (AATA — Deputy President B J McCabe) (2021) **175.113**
- McQueen v Minister for Immigration, Citizenship, Migrant Services and Multicultural Affairs (No 2)** (FCA — Colvin J) (2021) **175.37**
- Military Rehabilitation and Compensation Commission v Dalgrin** (FCA — Charlesworth J) (2022) **175.45**
- Mosaic Brands Ltd v Australian Communications and Media Authority** (FCA — Katzmann J) (2021) **175.1**

(Continues on p ii of cover)

CUMULATIVE TABLE OF CASES REPORTED — *continued*

Secretary, Department of Social Services and Viscuso, Re (AATA — Member Reitano)
(2021) 175.118

CASES JUDICIALLY CONSIDERED IN THIS PART

- Abrahams v Comcare (2006) 93 ALD 147; [2006] FCA 1829, applied 175.62
Australian Postal Corporation v Hughes (2009) 111 ALD 579; [2009] FCA 1057, applied 175.62
- Benjamin v Repatriation Commission (2001) 70 ALD 622; [2001] FCA 1879, applied 175.62
Bettencourt v Minister for Immigration, Citizenship, Migrant Services and Multicultural Affairs
[2021] FCAFC 172, applied 175.37
Bushell v Repatriation Commission (1992) 175 CLR 408; 109 ALR 30; 29 ALD 1, applied 175.62
- Comcare Australia (Defence) v O’Dea (1997) 150 ALR 318, applied 175.62
- D’Anastasi v Environment, Climate Change & Water (NSW) (2011) 81 NSWLR 82; 185 LGERA
358; [2011] NSWCA 374, applied 175.1
- Federal Commissioner of Taxation v Australia and New Zealand Banking Group Ltd (1979) 143 CLR
499; 23 ALR 480, applied 175.1
- Haberfield v Department of Veterans’ Affairs (as delegate for Comcare) (2002) 121 FCR 233; 72 ALD
333; [2002] FCA 1579, applied 175.62
Hands v Minister for Immigration and Border Protection (2018) 267 FCR 628; 364 ALR 423; [2018]
FCAFC 225, applied 175.37
- Leach v Comcare (2021) 285 FCR 326; 173 ALD 510; [2021] FCAFC 134, applied 175.62
Lodkowski v Comcare [1998] FCA 158, applied 175.62
- Pelgrave v Comcare Australia [2002] FCA 1025, applied 175.62
Pyneboard Pty Ltd v Trade Practices Commission (1982) 39 ALR 565; 57 FLR 368, applied 175.1
- Sellick v Australian Postal Corporation (2009) 113 ALD 58; [2009] FCAFC 146, applied 175.62
Semunigus v Minister for Immigration and Multicultural Affairs (2000) 96 FCR 533; 60 ALD 383;
[2000] FCA 240, applied 175.26
Seven Network Ltd v Australian Competition and Consumer Commission (2004) 140 FCR 170; 212
ALR 31; 62 IPR 490; [2004] FCAFC 267, applied 175.1
- Telstra Corporation Ltd v Hannaford (2006) 151 FCR 253; 90 ALD 263; [2006] FCAFC 87, applied
175.62

STATUTES, RULES, ETC CITED IN THIS PART

COMMONWEALTH

Acts Interpretation Act 1901	
s 29(1)	175.193
Administrative Appeals Tribunal Act 1975	
s 29(2)	175.193
s 29(7)	175.193
s 42A(4)	175.113
s 42B	175.113
s 44	175.45
Health Insurance Act 1973	
s 79A	175.26
s 82	175.26
s 86	175.26
s 87	175.26
s 88A	175.26
s 89B	175.26
s 89C	175.26
s 91	175.26
s 92	175.26
s 93	175.26
s 94	175.26
s 131	175.26
Income Tax Assessment Act 1936	
Div 17	175.113
Migration Act 1958	
s 501CA(4)	175.37
Military Rehabilitation and Compensation Act 2004	
s 5	175.45, 175.130
s 6	175.130
s 23	175.130
s 27	175.130
s 319	175.130
s 335	175.130
s 336	175.130

s 337	175.130
s 339	175.130
Safety, Rehabilitation and Compensation Act 1988	
s 6	175.130
s 16	175.62
s 19	175.62
Safety, Rehabilitation and Compensation (Defence-related Claims) Act 1988	
s 4AA	175.45
s 5A	175.45
s 6	175.45
s 14	175.45
Social Security Act 1991	175.106
s 1169	175.118
Spam Act 2003	
s 508	175.1
Telecommunications Act 1997	
s 522	175.1
Veterans' Entitlements Act 1986	
s 5G	175.193
s 6DB	175.161
s 31	175.161
s 36H	175.193
s 68	175.161
s 120	175.161
s 120A	175.161
s 175	175.161
s 176(4)(a)	175.193
s 176(4)(b)	175.193

NEW SOUTH WALES

Workers Compensation Act 1987	
s 4	175.45
s 9	175.45

INDEX OF CASES IN THIS PART

COMPENSATION

Commonwealth employees — Whether Administrative Appeals Tribunal (tribunal) erred in determining that it had no jurisdiction to consider claim for compensation under ss 16 and 19 of Safety, Rehabilitation and Compensation Act 1988 (Cth) — Whether materials before Comcare in reconsideration application raised asserted claim for compensation — Aggravation claims — Nature and conditions claim — Tribunal to act inquisitorially — Case appeal allowed — Application remitted to tribunal for determination according to law: *Ellison v Comcare* 175 ALD 62

Military rehabilitation and compensation — Member of Australian Defence Force — Sexual assault leading to post-traumatic stress disorder — Injury suffered while socialising with work colleagues outside work hours — Re-exposure to perpetrator on base — Whether injury arose out of employment — Whether injury would not have occurred but for employment — Statement of Principles concerning Posttraumatic Stress Disorder (No 83 of 2014): *LPJD and Military Rehabilitation and Compensation Commission, Re* 175 ALD 130

Military rehabilitation and compensation — Reservist member of defence force injured while not on duty — Whether “in course of employment” — Whether defence force as employer induced or encouraged activity: *Military Rehabilitation and Compensation Commission v Dalgrin* 175 ALD 45

HEALTH

Medicare — Professional Services Review Scheme — Review by director — Obligation upon director to “decide” to undertake review — Proper approach to meaning of “decision”: *Amir v Director of Professional Services Review* 175 ALD 26

MEDIA AND COMMUNICATIONS

Australian Communications and Media Authority — Powers under Telecommunications Act 1997 (Cth) — Issuance of notices to require recipient to provide information or produce documents — Investigation of contraventions of Spam Act 2003 (Cth) — Implication of entitlement disclosure condition — Requirements for notice to satisfy condition: *Mosaic Brands Ltd v Australian Communications and Media Authority* 175 ALD 1

PRACTICE AND PROCEDURE

Administrative Appeals Tribunal (tribunal) — Taxation objection decision — Objection already allowed in full — Dismissal of proceedings — Whether tribunal able to provide applicant with different or more favourable outcome — Proceedings misconceived, lacking in substance and without reasonable prospect of success — Allegations of maladministration in decision-making process below — Function of tribunal: *McAuliffe and Commissioner of Taxation, Re* 175 ALD 113

Judicial review — Evidence — *Jones v Dunkel* inference — Availability against public officer exercising statutory power where not called to give evidence personally — Public officer having responsibility for making all decisions in exercise of particular power — No inference where unreasonable to infer public officer would be capable of recalling any matters over and above statements in documents: *Amir v Director of Professional Services Review* 175 ALD 26

Judicial review — Extension of time application — Decision of Repatriation Commission 3 August 2020 — Whether application outside statutory time limit — No discretion to extend time: *Butler and Repatriation Commission, Re* 175 ALD 193

Judicial review — Interrogatories — Leave to administer interrogatories to minister exercising personal power not to revoke cancellation of visa — Interrogatories sought with respect to ground that minister did not exercise personal judgment in deciding not to revoke cancellation of visa — Leave granted: *McQueen v Minister for Immigration, Citizenship, Migrant Services and Multicultural Affairs (No 2)* 175 ALD 37

SOCIAL SECURITY

Compensation preclusion — Age pension — Whether part of unpaid settlement amount had been received within meaning of s 1169 of Social Security Act 1991 (Cth) — Whether special circumstances existed to waive compensation preclusion period — Special circumstances did not exist — Decision set aside and substituted: *Secretary, Department of Social Services and Viscuso, Re* 175 ALD 118

(Continues on p vi of cover)

INDEX — *continued*

SOCIAL SECURITY — *continued*

Pensions — Disability support pension — Impairment tables — Table 11 — Whether person suffering frequent attacks of dizziness affecting balance had “continual” difficulty with balance for purpose of 20-point descriptor — Held applicant did have “continual” difficulty with balance in these circumstances — Twenty points awarded — All other prerequisites satisfied — Decision set aside: *Harvey and Secretary, Department of Social Services, Re* 175 ALD 106

TAXATION AND REVENUE

Objection decisions — Treatment of lump sum compensation payment — Objection already allowed in full — Review by Administrative Appeals Tribunal (tribunal) — Whether tribunal able to provide applicant with different or more favourable outcome — Dismissal of proceedings: *McAuliffe and Commissioner of Taxation, Re* 175 ALD 113

VETERANS’ ENTITLEMENTS

Disability pension — Multiple myeloma — Whether veteran’s operational service as submariner in Royal Australian Navy due to exposure to benzene on service — Statement of Principles Bulletin No 189 — Statement of Principles concerning myeloma No 69 of 2012 cl 6(h), 6(ha), 9: *Kerns and Repatriation Commission, Re* 175 ALD 161

WORDS AND PHRASES

“**decision**”: *Amir v Director of Professional Services Review* 175 ALD 26

“**entitlement disclosure condition**”: *Mosaic Brands Ltd v Australian Communications and Media Authority* 175 ALD 1

“**personal judgment**”: *McQueen v Minister for Immigration, Citizenship, Migrant Services and Multicultural Affairs (No 2)* 175 ALD 37