

CUMULATIVE TABLE OF CASES REPORTED

Administrative Law Decisions

<u>Absolute Vision Technologies Pty Ltd and Innovation and Science Australia, Re</u> (AATA — Deputy President B J McCabe and Senior Member D K Grigg) (2022)	<u>184.169</u>
<u>AFX17 v Minister for Home Affairs</u> (FCAFC — Full Court) (2022)	<u>184.258</u>
Gupta and Tax Practitioners Board, Re (AATA — Senior Member M Evans-Bonner) (2022)	184.162
<u>Imad v Director-General of Security</u> (FCAFC — Full Court) (2024)	<u>184.212</u>
<u>Kelly and Comcare, Re</u> (ARTA — General Member M Carey) (2025)	<u>184.325</u>
Large and Secretary, Department of Social Services, Re (AATA — Senior Member D J Morris) (2024)	184.90
<u>Moreton Resources Ltd and Industry Innovation and Science Australia, Re</u> (AATA — Deputy President F D O’Loughlin KC) (2022)	<u>184.271</u>
<u>Ngata v Minister for Immigration, Citizenship, Migrant Services and Multicultural Affairs</u> (FCAFC — Full Court) (2022)	<u>184.243</u>
Nolan and Secretary, Department of Education, Re (AATA — Senior Member D J Morris) (2024)	184.83
Precious Family Day Care Pty Ltd v Secretary of Department of Education (FCA — Perry J) (2024)	184.1

(Continues on p ii of cover)

CUMULATIVE TABLE OF CASES REPORTED — *continued*

Secretary, Department of Social Services and FTXB, Re (AATA — Full Court) (2024) **184.29**

Tinning and Repatriation Commission, Re (AATA — Member A McLean-Williams) (2024) **184.116**

Walsh and Comcare, Re (AATA — Senior Member A Ward AM) (2024) **184.103**

Worsley and Tax Practitioners Board, Re (AATA — Senior Member L Kirk) (2022) **184.139**

CASES JUDICIALLY CONSIDERED IN THIS PART

Acting Minister for Immigration, Citizenship, Migrant Services and Multicultural Affairs v CWY20 (2021) 288 FCR 565; 395 ALR 57; [2021] FCAFC 195, considered 184.258
Alister v R (1984) 154 CLR 404; 50 ALR 41, followed 184.212

Bortolazzo v Comcare Australia (1997) 75 FCR 385, followed 184.325

Church of Scientology Inc v Woodward (1982) 154 CLR 25; 43 ALR 587, followed 184.212
Comcare v Nicolas (2014) 225 FCR 369; [2014] FCAFC 122, followed 184.325
Commissioner for the Australian Capital Territory Revenue v Alphaone Pty Ltd (1994) 49 FCR 576; 127 ALR 699; 34 ALD 324, applied 184.243
Commonwealth v Northern Land Council (1993) 176 CLR 604; 112 ALR 409, followed 184.212
Conway v Rimmer [1968] AC 910; [1968] 1 All ER 874, followed 184.212

Griffin v Pantzer (as trustee of the bankrupt estate of Griffin) (2004) 137 FCR 209; 207 ALR 169; [2004] FCAFC 113, applied 184.243

Kaluza v Repatriation Commission (2011) 280 ALR 621; 122 ALD 448; [2011] FCAFC 97, considered 184.271

Lim v Comcare (2019) 165 ALD 217; [2019] FCAFC 104, applied 184.271

McGuire v Union Steamship Co of New Zealand (1920) 27 CLR 570, cited 184.325
Military Rehabilitation and Compensation Commission v May (2016) 257 CLR 468; 331 ALR 369; 150 ALD 392; [2016] HCA 19, followed 184.325
Moreton Resources Ltd v Innovation and Science Australia (2019) 271 FCR 211; 165 ALD 420; [2019] FCAFC 120, applied 184.169

Plaintiff M1/2021 v Minister for Home Affairs (2022) 275 CLR 582; 400 ALR 417; 178 ALD 304; [2022] HCA 17, considered 184.258

Re Bradford and Comcare Australia (1994) 37 ALD 187, considered 184.325
Re Royal Wins Pty Ltd and Innovation and Science Australia [2020] AATA 4320, applied 184.169
Re Zegura and Comcare [1998] AATA 199, considered 184.325

Sankey v Whitlam (1978) 142 CLR 1; 21 ALR 505, followed 184.212

Wilson v Wilson's Tile Works Pty Ltd (1960) 104 CLR 328; [1960] ALR 715, cited 184.325

Yates v South Kirkby Collieries Ltd [1910] 2 KB 538; (1910) 3 BWCC 418, considered 184.325
Young v Quin (1985) 4 FCR 483; 59 ALR 225, followed 184.212

STATUTES, RULES, ETC CITED IN THIS PART

COMMONWEALTH	
Administrative Appeals Tribunal Act 1975	
s 44(7)	184.271
Australian Security Intelligence Organisation Act 1979	
s 4	184.212
s 17(1)(c)	184.212
s 35	184.212
s 36	184.212
s 37	184.212
Pt IV	184.212
Crimes Act 1914	
Pt IAC	184.212
Income Tax Assessment Act 1997	
s 355-25(1)	184.169
s 355-25(2)(f)	184.271
s 355-30(1)	184.271
Industry Research and Development Act 1986	
s 27J(1)	184.169
Migration Act 1958	
s 134A	184.212
s 134B	184.212
s 134C	184.212
s 134E	184.212
s 476	184.212
s 501(1)	184.258
s 501(3A)	184.243
s 501CA(4)	184.243
Pt 2 Div 3 Subdiv FB	184.212

INDEX OF CASES IN THIS PART

COMPENSATION

Commonwealth employees — Weekly payments for incapacity for work — Normal weekly earnings — Relevant period — Relevant period under s 9(1) of Safety, Rehabilitation and Compensation Act (SRC Act) does not fairly represent weekly rate paid before injury — Other period to fairly represent weekly rate, s 8(5) of SRC Act — Overtime on regular basis: *Kelly and Comcare, Re* 184 ALD 325

DEFENCE

Intelligence and security — Adverse security assessment — Whether public interest immunity applied — Primary judge upheld claim of public interest immunity — Whether primary judge erred in not reviewing documents subject to claim — Factors for deciding whether judge should review documents: *Imad v Director-General of Security* 184 ALD 212

MIGRATION

Protection visa — National interest: *AFX17 v Minister for Home Affairs* 184 ALD 258

Visa — Cancellation — Procedural fairness — Privilege against self-incrimination: *Ngata v Minister for Immigration, Citizenship, Migrant Services and Multicultural Affairs* 184 ALD 243

Whether actions by Director-General of Security breached natural justice: *Imad v Director-General of Security* 184 ALD 212

PRACTICE AND PROCEDURE

Administrative Appeals Tribunal — Remitter of matter from Full Federal Court — Whether Full Court's order constrains tribunal — Resort by tribunal to Full Court's reasons to interpret order: *Moreton Resources Ltd and Industry Innovation and Science Australia, Re* 184 ALD 271

Administrative Appeals Tribunal — Taxation and revenue — Research and development tax incentive — Evidence — Failure to provide contemporaneous documentation of activities — Expert evidence — Failure to challenge expert evidence: *Absolute Vision Technologies Pty Ltd and Innovation and Science Australia, Re* 184 ALD 169

TAXATION AND REVENUE

Research and development tax incentive — Real time freight or manufacturing scheduling system — Enterprise resource planning technology — Determinations by Innovation and Science Australia — Whether activities “core R&D activities” or “supporting R&D activities” — Review by Administrative Appeals Tribunal — Lack of contemporaneous documents as to claimed activities — Unchallenged expert evidence — Failure to establish “systematic progression of work ... based on principles of established science” — Failure to establish that “hypothesis” existed: *Absolute Vision Technologies Pty Ltd and Innovation and Science Australia, Re* 184 ALD 169

Research and development tax incentive — Underground coal gasification project — Determination by Innovation and Science Australia — Whether activities “supporting R&D activities” — Whether activities “directly related to” core R&D activities — Dominant purpose test — Whether activities excluded because associated with complying with statutory requirements — Review by Administrative Appeals Tribunal — Remitter by Full Federal Court: *Moreton Resources Ltd and Industry Innovation and Science Australia, Re* 184 ALD 271

WORDS AND PHRASES

Meaning of “directly” — Meaning of “related to” — Relevance of explanatory memorandum for bill for predecessor provisions: *Moreton Resources Ltd and Industry Innovation and Science Australia, Re* 184 ALD 271