

Australian Capital Territory Reports

Director of Public Prosecutions v Hicks (a pseudonym) (No 4) (ACTSC — McCallum CJ)
(2024) 184.12

Ezekiel-Hart v Director of Public Prosecutions (ACT) (ACTSC — McWilliam J) (2023) 184.1

CASES JUDICIALLY CONSIDERED IN THIS PART

Alam v National Australia Bank Ltd (2021) 288 FCR 301; 393 ALR 629; [2021] FCAFC 178, considered 414.516

Australian Workers' Union v Bowen (No 2) (1948) 77 CLR 601, applied 414.516; considered 414.516

Banque Commerciale SA, En Liquidation v Akhil Holdings Ltd (1990) 169 CLR 279; 92 ALR 53, applied 414.516

Batistatos v Roads and Traffic Authority of New South Wales (2006) 226 CLR 256; 227 ALR 425; 45 MVR 288; [2006] HCA 27, considered 414.635

Calvin v Carr [1979] 1 NSWLR 1; [1980] AC 574; (1979) 22 ALR 417; [1979] 2 All ER 440, applied 414.516; considered 414.516

Cigarette & Gift Warehouse Pty Ltd v Whelan (2019) 268 FCR 46; [2019] FCAFC 16, considered 414.516

Concut Pty Ltd v Worrell (2000) 176 ALR 693; [2000] HCA 64, applied 414.516

Construction, Forestry, Maritime, Mining and Energy Union v Australian Building and Construction Commissioner (2020) 282 FCR 1; 384 ALR 668; [2020] FCAFC 192, applied 414.516

Crosby v Kelly (2012) 203 FCR 451; 289 ALR 531; [2012] FCAFC 96, considered 184.1*

Cummins South Pacific Pty Ltd v Keenan (2020) 281 FCR 421; [2020] FCAFC 204, considered 414.516

Dickason v Edwards [1910] VLR 537; (1910) 10 CLR 243; 16 ALR 149, applied 414.516

Fair Work Ombudsman v Foot & Thai Massage Pty Ltd (in liq) (No 4) [2021] FCA 1242, applied 414.516

Herron v McGregor (1986) 6 NSWLR 246, considered 414.635

Holcombe v Coulton (1988) 17 NSWLR 71, applied 414.516

Leary v National Union of Vehicle Builders [1971] Ch 34; [1970] 2 All ER 713, considered 414.516

Makin v Gallagher [1974] 2 NSWLR 559, applied 414.516

McPaul v Williams (1990) 34 IR 288, applied 414.516

Metwally v University of Wollongong (1985) 60 ALR 68; 59 ALJR 481, applied 414.516

Minister for Immigration and Border Protection v WZARH (2015) 256 CLR 326; 326 ALR 1; [2015] HCA 40, applied 414.516; considered 414.516

Moubarak (by his tutor Coorey) v Holt (2019) 100 NSWLR 218; 374 ALR 150; [2019] NSWCA 102, applied 414.635; considered 414.635

Munce v Vinidex Tubemakers Pty Ltd [1974] 2 NSWLR 235, applied 414.516

MZAPC v Minister for Immigration and Border Protection (2021) 273 CLR 506; 390 ALR 590; 177 ALD 464; [2021] HCA 17, considered 414.516

Nathanson v Minister for Home Affairs (2022) 276 CLR 80; 403 ALR 398; 96 ALJR 737; [2022] HCA 26, considered 414.516

PIA Mortgage Services Pty Ltd v King (2020) 274 FCR 225; [2020] FCAFC 15, considered 414.516

Rumble v Partnership (t/as HWL Ebsworth Lawyers) (2019) 289 IR 72; [2019] FCA 1409, applied 414.516

Sayed v Construction, Forestry, Mining and Energy Union (2015) 327 ALR 460; 149 ALD 88; [2015] FCA 27, applied 414.516

Schulz v R [2019] VSCA 179, applied 184.12*

Shea v TRUenergy Services Pty Ltd (No 6) (2014) 314 ALR 346; 242 IR 1; [2014] FCA 271, considered 414.516

Tasman Capital Pty Ltd v Sinclair (2008) 75 NSWLR 1; [2008] NSWCA 248, applied 414.516

Twist v Randwick Municipal Council (1976) 136 CLR 106; 12 ALR 379, considered 414.516

Whelan v Cigarette & Gift Warehouse Pty Ltd (2017) 275 IR 285; [2017] FCA 1534, considered 414.516

(Continues on p v of cover)

CASES JUDICIALLY CONSIDERED IN THIS PART — *continued*

Wiseman v Professional Radio and Electronics Institute of Australasia (1978) 20 ALR 545, applied
414.516

STATUTES, RULES, ETC CITED IN THIS PART

COMMONWEALTH		s 141	414.516
Fair Work Act 2009		s 142	414.516
s 336	414.516	Jurisdiction of Courts (Cross-vesting) Act	
s 340	414.516	1987	
s 341	414.516	s 6	184.1*
s 342	414.516	NEW SOUTH WALES	
s 346	414.516	Civil Procedure Act 2005	
s 347	414.516	s 67	414.635
s 351	414.516	Limitation Act 1969	
s 363	414.516	s 6A	414.635
Fair Work (Registered Organisations) Act			
2009			
s 5	414.516		

INDEX OF CASES IN THIS PART

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW

Judicial review — Application for review of decisions of Directors of Public Prosecutions (ACT and Commonwealth) — Application in proceeding to transfer proceedings to Federal Court of Australia — Whether “special federal matter” — Whether special reasons for declining to transfer exist — Where relief sought may be beyond the power of any court: *Ezekiel-Hart v Director of Public Prosecutions (ACT)* 184 ACTR 1

COURTS AND JUDICIAL SYSTEM

Appeal — Applicable standard of review — Whether correctness standard applies — Whether appellate review of discretionary decision — Determination of question of abuse of process: *GLJ v The Trustees of the Roman Catholic Church for the Diocese of Lismore* 414 ALR 635

CRIMINAL LAW

Jurisdiction — Practice and procedure — Application for advance ruling on admissibility of evidence — Where data scrapes from posts on dark web were reproduced as html files — Where posts are previous representations but not caught by hearsay rule — Where terms of posts can be proved by direct evidence — Where posts are admissible and amenable to proof at trial: *Director of Public Prosecutions v Hicks (a pseudonym) (No 4)* 184 ACTR 12

DAMAGES

Contract — Breach of employment contract — Wrongful dismissal — Whether employed union officials entitled to damages equivalent to earnings for remainder of elected terms — Whether grounds for reduction of damages: *Construction, Forestry, Maritime, Mining and Energy Union v Quirk* 414 ALR 516

EMPLOYMENT

Labour law — Adverse action — Political opinion — Exercise of workplace right — Relevance of state anti-discrimination legislation — Identification of place where conduct occurred: *Construction, Forestry, Maritime, Mining and Energy Union v Quirk* 414 ALR 516

INDUSTRIAL LAW

Trade unions — Misconduct charges — Where elected trade union officials requested adjournment due to ill health — Where charges determined in trade union officials’ absence — Where trade union officials removed — Whether removal decision invalid — Failure to afford procedural fairness — Where right of appeal not exercised — Where removal from elected office terminated employment — Wrongful dismissal — Breach of enterprise agreement: *Construction, Forestry, Maritime, Mining and Energy Union v Quirk* 414 ALR 516

Trade unions — Rule-making power — Whether matters charged capable of amounting to “gross misbehaviour” — Whether adverse action taken against trade union officials because they engaged in “industrial activity” — Whether charges exercise of power of censorship over communications between union members — Where communications made through mass media — Where union membership part of target audience — Whether form or medium of communication relevant: *Construction, Forestry, Maritime, Mining and Energy Union v Quirk* 414 ALR 516

PRACTICE AND PROCEDURE

Abuse of process — Permanent stay of proceedings — Civil proceedings arising out of institutional child sexual abuse — Effect of removal of limitation period for civil proceedings arising out of child abuse — Effect of death of alleged perpetrator — Effect of substantial delay between alleged events and commencement of proceedings — Whether trial would be necessarily unfair: *GLJ v The Trustees of the Roman Catholic Church for the Diocese of Lismore* 414 ALR 635