

AUSTRALIAN CORPORATIONS AND SECURITIES REPORTS

121 PART 5-6

TABLE OF CASES REPORTED

Addenbrooke Pty Ltd (ACN 055 973 576) v Duncan (No 2)

(FCAFC — Full Court) (2017) 121.406

[LexisNexis AU](#) | [Lexis Advance](#)

Challis v Hoffmann

(NSWSC — Gleeson JA) (2017) 121.585

[LexisNexis AU](#) | [Lexis Advance](#)

Kimberley Diamonds Ltd (ACN 150 737 563) v Arnautovic

(FCAFC — Full Court) (2017) 121.561

[LexisNexis AU](#) | [Lexis Advance](#)

CUMULATIVE TABLE OF CASES REPORTED

Australian Corporations and Securities Reports

Addenbrooke Pty Ltd (ACN 055 973 576) v Duncan (No 2) (FCAFC — Full Court) (2017) **121.406**

Allways Resources Holdings Pty Ltd (ACN 154 218 256) v Samgris Resources Pty Ltd (ACN 147 457 181) (QSC — Bond J) (2017) **121.1**

Challis v Hoffmann (NSWSC — Gleeson JA) (2017) **121.585**

First Pacific Advisors LLC v Boart Longyear Ltd (NSWCA — Full Court) (2017) **121.136**

Kimberley Diamonds Ltd (ACN 150 737 563) v Arnautovic (FCAFC — Full Court) (2017) **121.561**

Kite (in their capacity as liquidators of Mooney's Contractors Pty Ltd (ACN 144 726 296) (in liq)) v Mooney (No 2) (FCA — Markovic J) (2017) **121.158**

Manthey Redmond (Aust) Pty Ltd (ACN 130 202 845) (in liq) v Manthey (QSC — Jackson J) (2017) **121.389**

Palmer v Ayres (in his capacity as a joint and several liquidator of Queensland Nickel Pty Ltd (ACN 009 842 068) (in liq)) (QUD 580 of 2016) (FCA — Reeves J) (2017) **121.201**

(Continues on p ii of cover)

Cases in **bold** reported in this Part

ISSN 1033-7466

PRINT POST APPROVED
PP255003/00731
© LexisNexis 2017

CUMULATIVE TABLE OF CASES REPORTED — *continued*

Palmer v Park (in his capacity as a joint and several liquidator of Queensland Nickel Pty Ltd (ACN 009 842 068) (in liq)) (QUD 329 of 2016) (FCA — Reeves J) (2017) **121.201**

Re Amerind Pty Ltd (recs and mgrs apptd) (in liq) (VSC — Robson J) (2017) **121.206**

Re Australasian Liquid Storage Pty Ltd (ACN 110 086 509) (in liq) (FCA — Derrington J) (2017) **121.119**

Re Boart Longyear Ltd (NSWSC — Black J) (2017) **121.328**

Re White Horses Pty Ltd (ACN 009 696 637) (No 2) (QSC — Bond J) (2016) **121.300**

Scott v Port Hinchinbrook Services Ltd (ACN 081 055 414) (QSC — Henry J) (2017) **121.355**

Snowside Pty Ltd v Boart Longyear Ltd (NSWSC — Brereton J) (2017) **121.377**

CASES JUDICIALLY CONSIDERED IN THIS PART

- Alati v Kruger (1955) 94 CLR 216; [1955] ALR 1047, considered 121.406
Australian Competition and Consumer Commission v TPG Internet Pty Ltd (2013) 250 CLR 640; 304 ALR 186; 96 ACSR 475; [2013] HCA 54, considered 121.406
- Bathurst City Council v PWC Properties Pty Ltd (1998) 195 CLR 566; 157 ALR 414; [1998] HCA 59, considered 121.406
Black v S Freedman & Co (1910) 12 CLR 105; 17 ALR 541, distinguished 121.406
- Campbell v Backoffice Investments Pty Ltd (2009) 238 CLR 304; 257 ALR 610; 73 ACSR 1; [2009] HCA 25, considered 121.406
Carter v Gardner (2003) 130 FCR 99; 46 ACSR 264; [2003] FCA 653, considered 121.561
Clifford v Vegas Enterprises Pty Ltd [2011] FCAFC 135, considered 121.406
- Demagogue Pty Ltd v Ramensky (1992) 39 FCR 31; 110 ALR 608, considered 121.406
Dominelli Ford (Hurstville) Pty Ltd v Karmot Auto Spares Pty Ltd (1992) 38 FCR 471; 110 ALR 535, considered 121.406
- Giorgianni v R (1985) 156 CLR 473; 58 ALR 641, cited 121.585
- Hanave Pty Ltd v LFOT Pty Ltd (1999) 43 IPR 545; [1999] FCA 357, considered 121.406
- Meteyard v Love (2005) 65 NSWLR 36; 224 ALR 588; 56 ACSR 487; [2005] NSWCA 444, considered 121.561
Miller & Associates Insurance Broking Pty Ltd v BMW Australia Finance Ltd (2010) 241 CLR 357; 270 ALR 204; [2010] HCA 31, considered 121.406
- New Zealand Steel (Australia) Pty Ltd v Burton (1994) 13 ACSR 610, considered 121.561
- Owners of the Ship “Shin Kobe Maru” v Empire Shipping Co Inc (1994) 181 CLR 404; 125 ALR 1, considered 121.561
- Pereira v Director of Public Prosecutions (1988) 82 ALR 217, cited 121.585
- Re New Tel Ltd (in liq); Evans v Wainter (2005) 145 FCR 176; 221 ALR 331; 54 ACSR 284; [2005] FCAFC 114, considered 121.561
- Smith v Moloney (2005) 92 SASR 498; 223 ALR 101; [2005] SASC 305, considered 121.406
- Tyne (as trustee of the Argot Trust) v UBS AG (No 2) (2017) 341 ALR 415; [2017] FCAFC 5, considered 121.561
- Williams v Spautz (1992) 174 CLR 509; 107 ALR 635, considered 121.561
Wong v Silkfield Pty Ltd (1999) 199 CLR 255; 165 ALR 373; [1999] HCA 48, considered 121.561
- Yorke v Lucas (1985) 158 CLR 661; 61 ALR 307, cited 121.585

STATUTES, RULES, ETC CITED IN THIS PART

COMMONWEALTH

Corporations Act 2001

s 79	121.585
s 79(c)	121.585
s 180	121.585
s 181	121.585
s 181(1)	121.585
s 182	121.585
s 232	121.585
s 236	121.585
s 237	121.585
s 293	121.585
s 438D	121.585
s 439C	121.585
s 446A(2)	121.585
s 447(6)	121.585
s 477(2)(c)	121.585
s 477(2B)	121.585
s 511(1)	121.585
s 533	121.585
s 551	121.585
s 555	121.585
s 556	121.585
s 563C	121.585

s 564	121.585
s 596A	121.561
s 1317E	121.585
s 1317H	121.585
s 1321	121.585
s 1321(1)	121.585
Pt 5.3A	121.585
Corporations Law	
s 232(2)	121.585
s 232(4)	121.585
s 232(5)	121.585
s 232(6)	121.585
Corporations Regulations 2001	
r 5.6.47(2)	121.585
r 5.6.55(1)	121.585
r 5.6.67(1)	121.585

NEW SOUTH WALES

Legal Profession Uniform Law Application Act 2014

s 90(1)	121.585
Supreme Court (Corporations) Rules 1999	
r 2.13	121.585
r 14.1	121.585

INDEX OF CASES IN THIS PART

ADMINISTRATION

Derivative action — Where plaintiff seeks leave to bring derivative action — Whether leave should be granted — Whether plaintiff is a creditor of the company — Where proof of debt admitted — Whether proposed claim has a solid foundation and is not vexatious or oppressive — Where liquidators consider proposed claim has merit, but without funds to pursue it — Whether liquidators and company are adequately financially protected from adverse cost orders if proposed claim fails — Terms of proposed arrangement between the plaintiff, the company and the liquidators for the sale or disposition of the future proceeds of derivative action — Exercise of liquidators' powers under s 477(2)(c) — Need for approval by court under s 477(2B) — Whether proposed arrangement infringes s 551, s 555 or s 556 of the Corporations Act — Appropriate conditions for grant of leave: *Challis v Hoffmann* 121 ACSR 585

APPEAL

Misleading and deceptive conduct — Unconscionable conduct — Trade and commerce — Appeal from the dismissal of a claim that the respondents had engaged in misleading or deceptive conduct — Claim based on positive representations and, as against the first respondent, on the non-disclosure of certain matters: *Addenbrooke Pty Ltd (ACN 055 973 576) v Duncan (No 2)* 121 ACSR 406

EQUITY

Constructive trust — Knowingly assistance — Whether the first respondent had knowingly assisted in the breach of a constructive trust said to have arisen when the appellant paid monies in compliance with the share subscription agreement said to have been induced by the alleged misleading or deceptive conduct: *Addenbrooke Pty Ltd (ACN 055 973 576) v Duncan (No 2)* 121 ACSR 406

EVIDENCE

Appeal against evidence ruling of the trial judge — Consideration of the Telecommunications (Interception and Access) Act 1979 — Whether a transcript of the intercepted communication was inadmissible: *Addenbrooke Pty Ltd (ACN 055 973 576) v Duncan (No 2)* 121 ACSR 406

PROCEEDINGS

Summons — Examination — Abuse of process — Whether examination summons amounted to an abuse of process: *Kimberley Diamonds Ltd (ACN 150 737 563) v Arnautovic* 121 ACSR 561